

I am forwarding my recent letter to the Wilson group for your attention.

I believe it is self explanatory.

Anyone in any government who indulges in retrospective legislation is a fool if they don't consider the effects, both present and future, of retrospectivity. It creates uncertainty and turmoil and , in my view, those, who are today law compliant, will take such measures as they need to remove their activities from government scrutiny so as to preserve a degree of certainty.

No one likes to work hard, obey the rules and then be penalised by a retrospective rule change.

There is no moral, ethical or fair justification for this action.

It is blatant theft.

Sincerely,

AS FROM 4th OCTOBER, 2022-

Brian Bolton.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brian Bolton _____

I wholly concur with Geoff's position against the proposed legislation.

Geoff comments that the former government actually drafted this legislation.

These are the same people who didn't/ don't understand the franking credits legislation and proposed to deny a refund of tax paid-even though by doing so made a mockery of the intention of the franking legislation-namely to put those in receipt of interest and those in receipt of dividends on the same tax footing. Interestingly, no one wanted to look at the very basic mathematics that clearly show how wrong they were/are.

To compound the disgraceful treatment of Australian taxpayers, the legislation is to be made retrospective.

Essentially, following their logic, the government wants to change the law so anyone can be fined for having parked in an authorised parking spot TODAY at any time in the future.

If they start with tax, where will they end up.

John Howard started the retrospective approach when he lost out to getting death duties legitimately. He then tweaked the legislation the next year BUT PROMISED it would be the only retrospective legislation ever allowed.

No one believed him then and we still don't- the proof is in the draft legislation.

Allowing legislation to change a factual situation at the whim of our politicians is NOT to be permitted.

Stable government requires stable laws. Those proposed are just another form of theft by those who just love to spend our money on their desires.

Let your representatives know.

Sincerely,

Brian Bolton