

Director

**Corporate
Tax Policy Unit**

Treasury

Langton Cres

**Parkes ACT
2600**

By email: frankeddistconsult@treasury.gov.au

Dear
Director,

Thank you
for the opportunity to submit a response to the consultation on the proposed
legislation relating to **Franked Distributions and Capital Raising**.

I object to
the proposed legislation changes.

I believe
the draft legislation is inequitable to Australian companies and shareholders
and it could inadvertently impact situations of legitimate company operations.

The draft
legislation fails to recognise the fundamental principle underlying the franking
regime and the reason for its creation, the avoidance of double taxation on
company earnings.

The Franked Distribution
and Capital Raising draft legislation, if widely applied, will lead to the
demise of the franking system. It will stop Australian companies who issue new
shares under a Dividend Reinvestment Plan (DRP) from paying franked dividends
and significantly increase the cost of capital for all franked dividend paying Australian companies. It will also
risk the stability and integrity
of the Australian banking system by inhibiting effective capital raising during
challenging economic periods such as the start of the coronavirus pandemic.

If
passed, its application would also unfairly burden Australian investors with
retrospective tax debts, to be paid at a time of economic uncertainty.

Please
contact me on rita163@bigpond.com if you have any questions on the below
submission.

Yours
sincerely,

Rita
Al-Mourani

**1. There
would be unintended consequences based on the current drafting of the proposed
legislation**

As drafted, the proposed legislation
does not sufficiently distinguish between acceptable activities and the tax
avoidance situations it intends to address. The proposed legislation would
appear to inadvertently impact situations of legitimate company operations and
could accordingly delay or discourage the normal processes of capital raising,
investment and economic growth in Australia and interfere with the operation and
the efficiency of the Australian capital markets and the structural integrity
of our banking system.

For example, irrespective of the various situations of legitimate capital management, capital raising and franked dividend payments by Australian companies, the draft legislation is broad enough that it could also capture the well-established act of implementing Dividend Reinvestment Plans (DRPs) and DRP underwritten capital raisings in the circumstances where, in Treasury's broad view, the established practice test is not met.

The current draft of the legislation will have severe impacts to our authorised deposit-taking institutions (Australian banks) and would be contrary to the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority's (APRA) guidance provided in the most recent time of economic stress during the COVID-19 pandemic.

In April 2020, APRA provided guidance to all authorised deposit-taking institutions, primarily impacting Australia's big four banks, on capital management. This guidance included an expectation that Boards would seriously consider deferring decisions on dividends given the economic uncertainty due to the coronavirus pandemic. It would also offset any dividends to the extent possible through other capital management initiatives, including DRPs and other capital raising initiatives to partially offset the diminution in capital from the payment of franked dividends to shareholders. As Australia moved beyond the initial phase of response, APRA updated the guidance to assist longer-term capital management enabling banks to fulfil their role in supporting economic recovery. As part of this, APRA recommended they actively used DRPs "and/or other capital management initiatives" to offset the reduction in their capital base and balance sheets from making franked dividend payments to their shareholders. The proposed drafting of the legislation changes will risk the stability of the Australian banking system by inhibiting effective capital management during challenging economic times.

2. Managing

cash flows between capital raising and distributions can represent the normal and legitimate flow of commercial capital management

The drafted

legislation removes the ability of operating businesses to legitimately manage and invest their cash flows productively. Once a company has generated a profit and reinvested it, it can only create liquidity to pay a dividend by raising debt, selling some of its assets (which might not be viable) or by raising capital. By removing the ability to raise capital to reward shareholders, companies will need to increase their debt levels or they will be put in a position where they will be unable to grow and further develop their businesses. While there are instances of companies manipulating the tax system, companies that have legitimately earned profits and paid tax should be entitled to choose how they invest or distribute those profits to their shareholders.

3. The

proposed legislation would burden thousands of Australian shareholders who have planned or are planning their retirement, placing stress on individuals and on the Australian pension system

The dividend

imputation system has not fundamentally changed for over 20 years and implementing change now, and retrospectively, on people who are already retired and, in many cases, cannot return to work, will burden individuals, their families and in turn the economy, all of which will face economic uncertainty.

4. Retrospectively

I note the retrospective application to

19 December 2016 would unfairly prejudice franked dividends paid out to shareholders of Australian companies and leave them with unexpected tax bills for dividends they have since received, to be paid at a time of economic uncertainty. This is particularly concerning for those who rely on fully franked dividends as income.

The draft legislation appears to

inadvertently target situations of legitimate company operation making it difficult to form a conclusive judgement as to the legitimacy of historical and future payments of fully franked dividends by Australian companies.

Tax laws should not be allowed to

change retrospectively when Australians have budgeted for and paid their lawful tax assessment based on existing tax law in place.

Conclusion

While I appreciate Treasury is trying to deal with situations involving tax avoidance and franked dividend distributions, the proposed legislation, as drafted, will fundamentally change the nature of how Australian companies manage their capital, increase their cost of capital and negatively impact Australian shareholders.