To whom it May Concern,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a submission

About me:

I have been working as a financial planner for 16 years and operating a small financial planning practice in Essendon since 2008. I am passionate about the positive difference good financial advice can make to the lives of Australians. I hold a Masters Degree in Financial planning. I have approximately 130 clients and two employees.

The problem:

I have been devastated to see a large numbers of financial planners walk away from the profession in the last 3 years. Two very good financial planner friends of mine have left the industry, despite holding relevant degrees, having a long and successful history in the industry and happy clients. I have personally become overwhelmed with the level of red-tape and compliance to the point where it has negatively impacted on my quality of life and my family. I have been forced in increase the fees I charge clients, cut-off low value clients and I am turning away prospective clients, who would benefit from my services.

In my opinion, many of the provisions which have been put in place to protect consumers, are now causing more harm than good. With the large number of baby boomers heading into retirement, the lack of affordability for first home buyers, the ongoing pandemic and the widespread dissemination of unlicensed and potentially dangerous advice via social media, the accessibility of qualified, properly licensed advice, with appropriate consumer protections, has arguably never been more important.

An opportunity:

Despite the difficult state of affairs in the financial advice industry there are some relatively simple measures which could be implemented immediately to dramatically change the landscape and improve access and the affordability of financial advice.

The downsides of my recommendations, are negligible in my opinion; and they would be widely embraced by the financial advice community. If adopted, my recommendations would breathe new life into the financial planning profession, encourage higher professional standards, and would allow financial planners to deliver service at a lower cost, to a significantly greater number of consumers.

Recommendations:

- 1. Exempt the provision of Statements of Advice and Records of Advice for the following circumstances
 - a. Where the financial advice provider has passed the FASEA Exam, and met the FASEA education standards.
 - b. Where the scope of advice and recommended products do not involve an in-house products, commissions or asset-based fees

Expected outcome

- Financial planners are required to meet the requirements of the FASEA Code of Ethics. The Code contains obligations for financial planners to obtain consent and ensure clients understand the financial advice. As such, financial planners will still be required to ensure their clients are well informed of the basis of the advice and the consequences.
- By dropping the production of advice documents, this will save financial planners and their staff between 6 to 12 hours per client, and put us on par with other professions, which are not required to produce these extensive and time-consuming documents. Financial planners would be free to service a larger number of clients, thereby improving access to financial advice. The cost of financial advice should also fall, as the cost of production would be significantly reduced.
- By limiting this measure to advisers who have met the FASEA education standards, it will
 encourage those who have not completed the necessary studies (or those who may rely on
 the proposed 10-year experience exemption) to complete the studies. This will in-turn raise
 the standards of the profession.
- By limiting the measure to advice situations which are free from commissions, asset-based
 fees and in-house products, financial advisers will be encouraged to avoid these significant
 conflicts of interest, thereby increasing the quality of financial advice.
- 2. Streamline the Annual Opt-In Requirements (Fee Disclosure Statement and Consent Form)
 - a. Amend the legislation to allow financial planners to bring forward the Renewal Date, rather than locking this date into the same day every year
 - Amend the legislation to allow financial planners to include the historic fee information for any consecutive 12-month period in the most recent 14 months, within Fee Disclosure Statements
 - c. Remove the list of services within a Fee Disclosure Statement, which clients have received in the previous 12 months.
 - d. A panel of experienced, practicing financial planners should be engaged by Treasury to create a standard template for a combined Fee Disclosure Statement and Consent Form, which ASIC, all Licensees (AFSL's) and product providers must accept.

Expected Outcomes:

• By allowing financial planners to bring forward the annual Renewal Date, financial advisers will be able to better align the process with client reviews. It will reduce our costs and

reduce the confusion this requirement has been causing our clients. If left unchanged, we will end up in a perverse situation where advisers will be discouraged from meeting with clients earlier than the Renewal Date, to avoid the additional paperwork involved with ending an agreement and starting a new one. Surely it is in the client's best interest to receive more service from their financial advice, not less?

- By allowing financial planners to report the consecutive 12 months of fees, from their choice
 of a 14 month window, it will allow financial planners to streamline the creation of Fee
 Disclosure Statements using their financial planning software. Presently, there is a problem
 with a time lag between the deduction of fees from client accounts, and the
 payment/reporting. This requires financial planners to run reports from each platform which
 is labour intensive and adds unnecessary cost.
- Removing the list of services delivered in Fee Disclosure Statements will reduce the time it
 takes to produce these documents. It will also simplify the documentation for the client.
 What value is there, in regurgitating back to the client a list of services they have received? It
 doesn't make any sense. Our clients know the services they receive. Now that consumers of
 financial advice are required to provide their consent on an annual basis, the inclusion of a
 list of services in an FDS should no longer be necessary.
- By having a single, universally mandated form, it will reduce client confusion and make the production of these documents quicker and easier.
- ASIC was supposed to deliver a single, universal FDS/Consent document, but has failed to do so. This should have been delivered prior to 1 July 2021. 7 months later, we are still waiting. We have a terrible situation where clients are being provided with multiple different forms, from different providers, for each account and a separate one required by the licensee. In some cases I am presenting (or posting) as many as 5 different forms to my clients, some as long as 7 pages each!
- Financial planners who operate at the grass roots level are best placed to deliver the
 universal FDS/Consent form. It is time to recognise financial planners as professionals, and
 allow us to become involved in the improvement of processes and the regulation of financial
 advice. The panel I have recommended for Treasury could become part of a longer-term
 process, to better inform Treasury and ASIC, on further improvements to remove red-tape
 and enhance the quality of financial advice in the future.
- 3. Inclusion of Financial Advice as an ancillary purpose of superannuation in the SIS Act.

Expected Outcomes:

Presently, financial advice is not listed in the sole purpose test within the SIS Act. This is
causing significant challenges for trustees, which are being required to monitor and check
whether fees deducted from superannuation funds are acceptable. Adding financial advice
as an ancillary purpose will reduce the costs for super funds.

- As it stands, clients cannot obtain advice from a financial adviser who is remunerated from
 fees deducted from superannuation, unless the advice relates to the specific account from
 which the fee is deducted. This limits the availability of advice in many instances, because
 financial advisers are forced to either: a) charge clients additional fees outside of the super
 fund; or b) limit the subject matter of the advice by excluding certain issues which may be
 important to the client.
- By allowing clients to pay for more broad financial advice via their super, it will open the
 door for consumers to access financial advice when they may not otherwise be able to
 afford it, to improve their overall financial circumstances. This would encourage more
 holistic retirement planning advice, overall wealth generation and wealth protection, which
 should consequently improve retirement outcomes.
- 4. Expand the definition of 'independence' to include specific financial advice situations. Such as:
 - a. Where the specific client does not have products, and is not recommended a product, which pays commissions or asset-based fees to the financial advice provider
 - b. Where the financial advice provider and Licensee, does not have ownership links to the products held or recommended to the client

Expected Outcomes

- Presently, the notion of independence is assessed at the licensee level. If a single client has a
 commission or asset-based fee, the advice provider is not permitted to use the word
 'independent' or similar, to describe their services, even if they offer advice to other clients
 which is free of commissions, asset-based fees or ownership links to the products
 recommended.
- Furthermore, consumers are required to be warned about the lack of independence. This has the potential to confuse and potentially mislead those consumers, for which the issue causing the lack of independence, may not apply.
- By narrowing down the lack of independence disclosure requirement to the individual client level (such as a prominent display in a Statement of Advice or Record of Advice), it will make the disclosure more timely and more powerful for the individual concerned.
- This improvement in the definition of independence, will encourage a greater number of
 financial advisers to move away from commissions, asset-based fees and in-house products,
 thereby, improving the quality of advice and removing conflicts of interest for a greater
 number of consumers.

Thank you for considering my submission.