

Dear Treasury,

<http://www.smh.com.au/national/millionaires-blasted-for-claiming-pensions-20090225-8hzq.html?page=-1>

Remember my email (see below) from 09/01/2009 where I questioned why the government does not take homes into account in the aged pension asset/means test?

What does it take for you to realise that you don't pay aged pensions to people living in million dollar homes? Why haven't you taken action yet and changed the law? You had more than a month to act on my recommendation and you haven't achieved any results!

How come the public is quicker coming up with sensible and functional public policies than the government? What is your purpose if we have to tell you what to do and then you ignore our advice?

You are wasting time and by wasting time you are wasting money. Forget your time-wasting bureaucratic tax review! ACT NOW on what is proven waste and remove the middle-class welfare from the tax law!

Give me a red pen, a copy of the tax code and I'll remove the pork barrel for you.

negative gearing gone

FHOG gone

FHSA gone

loans with less than 30% cash deposit outlawed income tax on interest income gone income tax on interest income above \$50,000/year introduced company car tax benefits gone CGT halving gone all tax deductions in the personal tax code gone low income tax offset gone income tax free threshold lifted to \$20,000 per year introduced unlimited bank guarantee gone bank deposit guarantee limited to \$20,000 per person per APRA institution introduced forcibly split Telstra into wholesale and retail companies introduced all immigration visa issues (excluding visa renewals) halted for 12 months introduced etc etc etc

You would be correct if you judged me as being upset.

Would you rather deal with one person armed with words and wisdom who represents the people and understands finance, economics, business, social systems, the basic needs of humans and follow his advice or would you rather negotiate with 10 million people armed with pitchforks assembling behind me because you didn't act?

I am the frontrunner of the public and I am here to alert you of the impending social unrest if you do not act in the interest of the people.

You can chose to willingly give the people what they require or the people may chose to forcibly take what they desire.

Do not misinterpret my strong communication. I am offering the government my help in the interest of the people. Have the guts to call on my assistance instead of toiling away surrounded by yesmen and selfish lobbyists. There is no hope of you solving the issues facing

this nation because you are shielded in a sanitised policy bunker from experiencing life and law as it impacts on the rest of us.

Regards,

Marcel

On 09/01/2009, Marcel Kunath [removed for privacy reasons] wrote:

> Hello,

>

> all your federal budget problems (the upcoming deficits over the next
> years) would go away if you started taxing the rich. You can only tax
> the poor so much and by sending the poor to the dole queue this year
> through rising unemployment your tax revenue is about to dry up.

> Getting rid of tax deductions, negative gearing, FHOG, FHSA, baby
> bonus, family benefits and other middle class welfare schemes would
be

> a smart thing. All those benefits are paid to the middle class out of
> taxes paid for by the middle class. It's the biggest waste of
> recycling money through a bureaucracy while you could just leave it
in

> the public's pockets to begin with. Who came up with the practice to
> pay government pensions to people living in million dollar homes?

Talk

> about rich class welfare!!! If people want to qualify for a
government

> pension they should be forced to first liquidate all assets and
second

> decimate their cash base. I reckon I could trim tax legislature by
75%

> if you handed me a red pen and an hour of time and nobody would
notice

> the difference except that it would be less bureaucratic and more

> just.

>

> I attached income tax brackets that would actually work and recommend
> these for immediate implementation in the financial year 2009-2010. I
> constantly hear how we need less tax brackets with lower tax rates.

If

> you like the nation to go broke then keep pursuing this path of

> demise. In my mind we need more tax brackets with a high income tax

> free threshold and higher tax rates for the high income earners.

>

> Thanks,

>

> Marcel Kunath