SBCGTintegrity

From:	David Hickmott <u><dhickmott@finkhick.com.au></dhickmott@finkhick.com.au></u>
Sent:	Tuesday, 27 February 2018 8:28 PM
To:	SBCGTintegrity
Subject:	Enquiry about: Improving the integrity of the small business CGT concessions
Categories:	Saved

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback in connection with the proposed small business CGT integrity provisions.

Firstly I need to express my concern that the proposals represent a change to Tax Law , and are clearly much more than an integrity measure.

Secondly, I believe that, for the drafted legislation to have taken 9 months to prepare, and then for the consultation process to be open for 3 weeks, on such an important change, there has been a cynical abuse of process and power.

That aside ,here a collection of observations , presumably unintended consequences and suggestions for your consideration.

1. The changes produce significantly different tax results depending on whether taxpayers are structured through a Company or Partnership. For example a Business equally owned by three people worth \$9M would potentially be tax free to the three owners if they were in partnership ,however produce a tax liability of around \$2M if they sold their shares in a Company. That is an inequitable for the holders of a legitimate business structure.

2.Small Business owners have been operating under a regime for almost 20 years that provided an incentive to invest their time, money and resources in their businesses. The concessions were introduced to recognise the significant contribution small business plays to Australia. To have a devastating cut-off imposed is unjust. When the CGT discount was removed for non-residents, sensible protection was introduced to preserve the tax status of their pre and post situations. A similar protection must be included with this change of policy. For example if a small business owner prior to budget night last year would have qualified for the concessions, they should be entitled to at least a partial exemption in the future. For example if they have run their business for 20 years (15 prior to budget night last year and 5 post) they should get a 75% reduction to the assessable gain. Alternatively existing shareholders should be grandfathered in connection with their qualifying shares at Budget night last year. 3. The exposure draft includes a concept relating to the modified active asset test that cash held as trading stock can

3. The exposure draft includes a concept relating to the modified active asset test that cash held as trading stock can be included . Where does this ridiculous concept come from ? Is it actually referring to cash used for working capital ? This whole area needs to be reviewed and generally accepted terms be used.

4. I believe that it is more sensible that 3 unrelated people who set up a Business worth \$15M, should left in the same tax position as an individual who grows their Company to be worth \$5M. Clearly at present there is a huge disparity with the proposed changes.

5. The proposed legislation puts taxpayers who legitimately structure their businesses through Companies or Trusts at a significant disadvantage.

I implore you consider my comments and also the representations of the professional accounting bodies, and significantly amend or delete the proposed amendments. In particular those that produce the inequities highlighted and what would appear to be unintended consequences.

Yours faithfully,

David Hickmott



David Hickmott CPA Director DHickmott@finkhick.com.au Level 2, 140 Colin Street, West Perth, WA, 6005 Postal Address: PO BOX 111, West Perth, WA, 6872 www.finkhick.com.au Tel.: (08) 9321 3369 | Fax: (08) 9481 5993

David Hickmott is an Authorised Representative No 1244231 of SMSF Advisors Network Pty Ltd ABN 64 155 907 681 No 430062

This email is intended for the named recipient only. The information contained in this message may be confidential or commercially sensitive. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not reproduce or distribute any part of this email, disclose its contents to any other party, or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately. Please delete this message from your computer.