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## Key themes from the Treasury Business Liaison Program - November 2002

The following is a summary of findings from Treasury's business liaison conducted in the last week of October and throughout November 2002.'

Indications from contacts in the non-farm sectors of the economy are that domestic activity was solid in the December quarter 2002, and the outlook for the year ahead remains positive. However, sentiment has been tempered by the prospect of continued drought, the expected easing in the residential construction sector, and weak global economic conditions.

Treasury greatly appreciates the commitment of time and effort made by the Australian businesses and industry associations that participate in this program. ${ }^{2}$

## Overview

The November business liaison round comprised approximately 80 interviews. The meetings were predominantly conducted in Sydney, Melbourne and Brisbane. This was supplemented by meetings in regional towns in New South Wales and phone interviews with contacts in other states.

Business liaison focused on conditions in the farm sector and developments in the construction sector. Other industries contacted included finance, tourism and accommodation, manufacturing, mining and energy, transport and storage, and retail trade.

Sentiment about business conditions and the outlook remained generally positive in November, but was tempered by:

[^0]- A deterioration in current agricultural conditions, particularly for winter crop production, and an unfavourable outlook for summer cropping.
- A softening in the outlook for the residential construction sector, particularly with respect to medium density dwellings and investor activity.
- Further evidence of the impact of weak global economic conditions on the domestic economy.

Aside from the effect of the drought on the farm sector, business liaison contacts indicated that the outlook for economic growth over the remainder of 2002-03 is expected to be solid, although moderating from recent strong growth. Business liaison contacts indicated that investment intentions remain on track (especially for non-residential construction), and that there were signs of continued growth in consumer demand. In addition, contacts indicated that they expected the residential construction sector to ease in the second half of 2003.

## Prospects for the farm sector

Meetings with a wide range of agricultural contacts were held during the August and November liaison rounds, which included meetings in rural NSW.

The outlook for most segments of the farm sector deteriorated over the second half of 2002, with the drought becoming widespread across many parts of Australia.

- A number of contacts in NSW reported that the current drought is worse than the 1994-95 drought in terms of water and feed availability.
- The poor outlook reported by contacts for agricultural production in 2002-03 is consistent with previously published official estimates.

Broad-acre crops (both summer and winter), dairy, livestock, and horticulture have been most affected. There was cautious optimism among contacts that the drought will break in autumn of 2003, as recently reported by the National Climate Centre. However, contacts noted their concerns about the potential impact of the drought if it continues into 2003-04.

## Crop production

Winter crop production has been badly affected by the drought, and estimates for 2002-03 are beginning to firm as farmers begin the harvest. Significant rainfall going forward will have little to no impact on 2002-03 winter crop production. Many contacts, however, expect a strong rebound in winter crop production if the drought breaks in time for 2003-04.

Contacts were concerned that the prospects for summer crop production appeared poor. They indicated that irrigated summer crops such as cotton were facing extensive water shortages and restricted water allocations. The lack of rain has meant that major head-water storages and dams have not refilled in several key production areas.

The reported outlook for sugar production was uncertain. Some contacts have reported significant downgrades to sugar production, whereas others believe that below average rainfall has not lead to decreased production in areas that traditionally experience excessive wet conditions. Contacts have also reported an increase in diversification away from sugar production to other produce such as macadamias and sweet potatoes.

- In general, the outlook for world sugar prices is pessimistic, stemming from excess supply in the market.

The drought has adversely impacted the horticulture industry, with contacts commenting on water restrictions reducing production and sales in the nursery and garden sector. Some fruit and vegetable production in Queensland has been severely affected.

## Livestock

Contacts reported a marked increase in the slaughter of livestock and as such, contacts reported favourable business conditions for abattoirs, with most plants operating at capacity and some scheduled to run throughout Christmas.

- The outlook for beef exports remains positive with signs of recovery in exports to Japan. Contacts expect the Japanese market to fully recover from the BSE scares by the end of 2003.
- In addition, there have been reports of increased interest from Korea and live cattle exports to the Middle East and Asia are also doing well as there are few countries that export live animals.

Contacts have reported a strong jump in feed and water costs. There have been reports of feed costs rising by 30 to 35 per cent. In Victoria, some farmers have been able to agist stock in the south where conditions are better. In NSW, the widespread nature of the drought has meant there are fewer prospects for local agistment. Some contacts have reported an increase in their vet bills of over 300 per cent due to increased stress on animals.

## Dairy

The short-term outlook for the dairy industry is for production and incomes to fall due to lower world dairy prices and the drought. The drought has impacted significantly on milk production and also led to some slaughtering of dairy cattle, contributing to lower wholesale beef prices.

- According to contacts, milk production volumes in northern Victoria are down 15 to 18 per cent, due to poor pasture conditions.

Contacts noted their concerns about the damaging impact on the dairy industry if the drought continues into 2003-04. This would require a substantial period of re-stocking once the drought breaks and costs associated with this are likely to be significant as cattle prices are expected to rise due to the lack of supply.

- World dairy prices remain weak because of increased subsidies in the US and Europe, and New Zealand (the second largest producer) being able to maintain high production levels.


## Wool

One of the main concerns for the wool industry is the declining sheep numbers over recent years. Higher sheep meat prices relative to wool have lead to increased slaughterings, and increasing live sheep exports have also contributed to the declining flock number. As such, concerns about the future wool supply have pushed wool prices to historical highs.

- Contacts in the fine wool industry are fairly positive about general business conditions as they have been able to continue to supply at these higher prices to countries such as Italy. However, some contacts have experienced a fall in the quality of wool produced due to a lack of feed.


## Flow-on effects from the drought

Many agribusiness contacts have reported that farmers seem to be better prepared for this drought, with high incomes in the previous year, a supportive exchange rate and lower debt levels.

- Contacts reported evidence of farmers having made significant repayments of their term and seasonal debts.
- Contacts have commented on the strong productivity gains in the farm sector, particularly in broadacre cropping. Technological developments such as improved drought tolerant varieties of crops, better rotations and tillage have helped farmers maintain some production.

A number of the larger agribusinesses are diversified, in areas such as insurance and financial services, and maintain a positive medium-term outlook for their business.

Most agribusiness contacts reported they were deferring their farm investment for 2002-03. However, they expected farm investment to rebound strongly when the drought breaks.

- After more than a year of strong activity, most farm machinery companies are expecting low sales over the next few months.

Contacts reported that fertiliser sales have dropped around two-thirds since the onset of the drought. Rural and regional freight volumes have also fallen.

Many regional towns, reliant on the farming industry, are experiencing the effects of the drought, with retail sales slowing since August and poor sales were expected over the 2002 Christmas season.

- The significant decline in agricultural employment was confirmed, consistent with other available data.


## Residential construction trends

Contacts in the construction industry indicated that the high levels of residential construction activity in the September quarter, continued into the December quarter.

- Residential building supply manufacturers also noted that material sales were strong going into the December quarter, although these contacts commented that they would expect easing sales in the first half of 2003.

Contacts across most states still expect residential construction to ease in 2003. There were some differences around the timing of the expected decline in 2003, but contacts generally characterised the expected easing in residential construction as a 'soft landing'. In addition, several construction related industries expect to benefit from a pick up in non-residential construction.

Overall, there has been a softening in sentiment in the residential sector since the August round. While contacts were still generally positive about prospects for the industry, they felt more assured about the likely decline in activity in the second half of 2003.

- Contacts indicated that extensive media coverage around a possible correction in the residential property market has appeared to discourage some potential investors.
- Contacts continued to report oversupply in medium-density developments in Sydney and Melbourne, noting that these 'overdeveloped' segments would be more likely to experience a correction in the near future. Contacts generally felt that the owner-occupier segment was well placed.


## Emerging cost pressures in the construction industry

Despite the positive outlook for construction activity, several cost pressures are emerging in the industry, including growing insurance and labour costs.

- Premiums for professional indemnity insurance and some classes of industrial insurance have increased by up to several hundred per cent. Double-digit increases in overall insurance premiums are possible in the next year, from an already high base.
- Margins were reported by some as being tight in the construction sector, with profit being generated through high volumes. Contacts reported that
insurance increases will place further pressure on margins going forward, and some cost increases may be passed on to buyers.
- Manufacturers of bulky building and construction materials are running at (or above) capacity at the moment and price increases for some products are anticipated where there is no natural import competition.

The high demand for labour has seen a general tightening in the construction labour force, and several contacts noted that a move to a 36 -hour working week has increased spending on overtime payments.

## The outlook for business investment

Contacts reported that many business investment plans for 2002-03 and 2003-04 are on schedule. Major investment projects in the mining and transport sectors continue to progress well. On the down side, farm spending is deferred, and some investment is being restrained by international conditions and the poor profitability of foreign parent companies.

Prospects for the non-residential construction sector were reported by contacts to be very solid over the next year, including a substantial pick up in engineering construction and a sound outlook for non-residential building. There is a substantial stock of construction work yet to be done over the next 12 months - consistent with findings from the two previous liaison rounds.

- The outlook for contacts involved in large engineering construction projects, such as mining projects, roads and other infrastructure developments, was particularly positive. Several contacts in these industries have noted record order books in recent months.
- A couple of contacts also noted that they were capitalising on business opportunities in Asia, such as project work flowing from the boom in infrastructure development in China.

The prospects for equipment investment appear sound, boosted by anticipated investment in the aviation sector. The finance sector provided some indications that the prospects for overall business investment remain positive.

## Consumer sentiment

Retail contacts were reasonably positive about the outlook for sales going into the Christmas shopping season and for the remainder of 2002-03. Sales of consumer goods linked to the strength of residential construction are expected to moderate in line with an easing in housing activity. Reduced rural income due to the drought is expected to have some impact on regional retail sales in 2003.

Some contacts also noted the possibility of a slight shift in discretionary expenditure away from overseas holidays into increased domestic travel and expenditure on household items, due to international security concerns.

- Contacts noted other risks to the outlook include potential adverse impacts on consumer confidence linked to a possible war against Iraq and negative wealth effects from a sharper than expected correction in house prices.
- Retail finance contacts noted that credit quality remained sound, with low rates of defaults, credit card delinquencies and bad debts.

Motor vehicle manufacturers are generally expecting sound conditions, with the release of several new and updated models likely to boost sales going into 2003.

## The impact of international conditions on tourism

The direct impact of international conditions has been highlighted by contacts in the tourism sector. Conditions in the tourism industry have varied over the past 12 months, depending on the exposure that contacts have to the international travel market. Contacts commented consistently that inbound tourism remains weak, but that conditions in the domestic travel market were relatively good in 2002.

Hotel contacts that are highly exposed to international corporate business travel have been worst affected. Contacts were cautiously optimistic about the outlook for the international tourism market, but there is significant uncertainty due to the continued weakness of overseas economies and security concerns.

- International events such as the Rugby World Cup and the Commonwealth Games in 2006 were noted as bonuses for the industry.

On a state basis, concerns remain around the continued growth in capacity in the Melbourne hotel market, but the Sydney market is looking more positive past the short to medium-term as the flow of new capacity slows.

Views from contacts on the impact of international security alerts and the Bali bombings for outbound tourism were mixed. There was limited anecdotal evidence that outbound tourists may shift their plans to domestic travel.

The expansion of domestic aviation routes was also noted as a positive development for the tourism industry going forward.

## Hiring intentions, wages and prices

While November's business liaison confirmed the decline in rural employment, the overall employment outlook and hiring intentions remain sound. Contacts indicated that employment in the construction sector and related industries remains particularly strong.

- Finance industry contacts reported plans to increase their staffing where they were expanding their branch operations. However, consolidation in areas of the financial sector that are heavily exposed to the international economy has lead to office closures and job shedding.
- In broad terms, retailers were planning to keep their staff numbers steady and in line with future store expansions.
- Despite the softness in the tourism sector, overall employment in the industry is expected to remain steady, with normal seasonal fluctuations.

Wage increases are expected to be moderate in the year ahead, offset by expected productivity gains in many cases. Wage increases of around 3 to 4 per cent per annum were anticipated by most contacts, but there were individual instances of additional wage pressures. Most industry contacts indicated that they were not facing any significant skill shortages, with the exception of perhaps the construction industry.

Business contacts continue to report restrained price pressures. Strong competition in many sectors is reported to be keeping margins tight. Nevertheless, some contacts thought price increases were inevitable where rising cost pressures over the past few years had eroded margins.

## Australian net private wealth

Treasury has published annual estimates of Australian net private sector wealth since the Summer 1990 Economic Roundup. This article updates previous estimates, and provides preliminary estimates for net private sector wealth as at June 2002.

The market value of Australian net private sector wealth grew by 12.6 per cent in the year to 30 June 2002. In real terms (that is, after allowing for inflation ${ }^{1}$ ), wealth grew by 10.9 per cent. Real wealth per Australian grew by 9.6 per cent.

## Wealth definitions and uses

From an economic perspective, wealth can be defined as 'a store of spending power that can be carried into the future' (Jones and Perkins 1986, p. 150). Therefore, wealth includes a wide variety of assets, both financial assets, such as cash, shares and bonds, and non-financial assets, such as dwellings, factories and other business assets that can be used to generate future income.

Measurements of the store (or 'stock') of spending power, such as wealth, complement measurements of the production (or 'flow') of income, such as gross domestic product (GDP). Wealth thus provides a useful additional measure of living standards as well as a benchmark for examining trends in such aggregates as external liabilities and private sector debt. In addition, wealth appears to be a significant determinant of current and future aggregate private consumption.

Wealth can also include a variety of other less tangible assets that are sometimes referred to as 'human wealth'. Human wealth includes, for example, the skills, education and social structures that contribute to capacity to generate income in the future.

In addition, a broader definition of wealth might include such assets as natural resources or even leisure time or aesthetic qualities.

[^1]
## Measuring wealth

From a practical perspective, some components of wealth can be extremely difficult to quantify. In particular, it is difficult to value those assets that are not readily tradeable and hence for which there are no readily observable prices. This is often the case for the various components of human wealth and some natural resources. As a result, the estimates in this article relate only to financial assets and non-financial (or physical) assets in those cases where there are well-developed markets and observable prices.

The scope of the estimates presented in this article is the Australian private sector. This consolidation of the private household and business sectors greatly simplifies the calculation of private sector wealth. ${ }^{2}$ However, this consolidation does result in loss of detail on the liabilities of these two sectors. Consequently, the data on asset types contained in the attached tables and charts should not be used to infer relative ownership by either the household or business sectors, or the level of personal wealth. ${ }^{3}$

A number of assumptions and approximations are required to construct these estimates, particularly for the latest year where much of the data remain provisional. Together with inevitable revisions to historical data, these limitations imply that the estimates should be interpreted as indicative of trends and broad orders of magnitude, rather than precise estimates.

The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) also publishes estimates of wealth. The Appendix has a discussion of the relationship between these estimates and the Treasury estimates.

## Methodology - How is wealth measured?

The wealth estimates presented in this article are a measure of the value of net domestic and foreign assets owned by the Australian private sector. These estimates are constructed using the inventory approach ${ }^{4}$, largely following the

2 Consolidating the private household and business sectors implies that the bulk of financial instruments held by households (such as bank deposits, debt instruments and superannuation) are netted out in the analysis.
3 Details on assets by sector are available in the ABS publication Australian National Accounts: National Balance Sheet (ABS Catalogue No. 5241.0), and Bacon (1998) discusses household wealth estimates in detail.
4 Other approaches for constructing estimates of wealth include the portfolio and estate methods. Piggott (1987) provides a useful summary of these approaches.
methodology of Callen (1991). This approach involves aggregating across different asset types and adjusting for the public and / or foreign ownership ${ }^{5}$ of assets. The estimates are largely based on ABS estimates of the dwelling stock, business capital stock, stock of consumer durables and Australia's international investment position. Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) data are used for holdings of public securities and RBA liabilities. Some private sector data and estimates from previous studies also enter the estimates.

Treasury estimates of net private sector wealth are calculated on both a market value and replacement cost basis. The market value of an asset represents the value that would be obtained if assets were to be sold in current market conditions. The replacement cost of an asset is the cost of reproducing that asset. ${ }^{6}$ Detailed wealth estimates since 1960 are presented in the attached tables.

## Private saving and wealth

The pure economic definition of saving is the change in the real net wealth of households from one period to the next. ${ }^{7}$ Therefore, the annual change in real private sector wealth can be interpreted as the annual economic saving of the private sector.

As a measure of private saving, the change in private wealth has advantages to the commonly quoted net household saving measure published by the ABS (see Chart 1). The main advantage is that it captures the effect of changes in the value of the stock of private wealth through changing asset values. However, these valuation effects can mean that it is more volatile than measures that exclude them.

[^2]A further advantage is that it has a broader scope. Household saving as measured by the ABS refers only to saving by the household sector, including unincorporated enterprises. It does not include changes in the value of the household sector's interest in private corporations. As private corporations are ultimately owned by households, saving by private corporations is conceptually also household saving.

Chart 1: ABS net household saving ratio


Source: ABS 5206.0.

## Movements in Australian private sector wealth in 2002

Through the year to 30 June 2002, Australian net private sector wealth at market value grew by 12.6 per cent in nominal terms, 10.9 per cent in real terms and 9.6 per cent in real per capita terms. The growth rate in real net private sector wealth during the year to June 2002 was the highest for more than a decade (Chart 2). The tables in the Appendix provide further details.

Chart 2: Growth in Australian net private sector wealth at market value ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$

(a) As at June 30 .
(b) Real wealth is determined using the consumption deflator. This includes the transitional impacts of the New Tax System.
Source: Treasury.

In current prices, Australian net private sector wealth was approximately $\$ 3,913$ billion at market value and $\$ 2,682$ billion at replacement cost on 30 June 2002. This represents around:

- \$198,500 per Australian (\$136,100 on a replacement cost basis); and
- 5.5 times the value of the annual nominal gross domestic product of the economy ( 3.8 times on a replacement cost basis).

In the year to June 2002, growth in the market value of dwelling assets dominated growth in all other forms of assets, contributing 11.6 percentage points to the growth in private wealth, more than double the long-term average contribution to growth of 5.6 percentage points (see Chart 3). The other main contribution to growth in wealth over the period was from business assets (net of net foreign liabilities), which contributed 1.0 percentage points. This contribution, the lowest since 1993, was well below the long-term average contribution to growth of 4.1 percentage points.

Chart 3: Contributions to growth in nominal Australian net private sector wealth at market value ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$

(a) As at June 30.
(b) Includes Australian investment abroad and excludes foreign liabilities.
(c) Includes money base.

Source: Treasury.

## Valuation ratios for Australian net private wealth

Valuation ratios for individual components of wealth (Appendix Table A3) provide a measure of the relationship between the market value and the replacement cost for that component. For dwelling assets, the valuation ratio represents the ratio between the price of established houses and the cost of building new dwellings (inclusive of land). The valuation ratio for business assets is the ratio between the price of existing business assets (as valued by the stock market) and the price of new business investment. The valuation ratio for government securities is determined by current interest rates relative to the interest rates at the time the securities were issued. If there is an unanticipated fall in interest rates relative to the interest rate at the time of issue then the value of the security rises and vice versa.

Changes in market conditions for particular components of wealth (for example, dwellings) will affect the valuation ratio for that component. Changes in market sentiment and business confidence will lead to changes in individual valuation ratios and fluctuations in the total market value of private
sector wealth. The value of wealth at replacement cost is not directly affected by these changes in sentiment or confidence, and hence is more stable.

Over time, the valuation ratios of the different components have performed differently (Chart 4).

Chart 4: Valuation ratios for selected components of wealth

(a) Established house prices divided by the deflator for dwelling investment.
(b) Equity prices divided by the deflator for business fixed investment. Market price divided by face value.
Source: Treasury.

During the year to 30 June 2002:

- The valuation ratio for dwelling assets increased by 11.5 per cent. This increase, the largest since 1989, is a direct result of substantial house price increases throughout the country. The ABS House Price index reported a broad-based rise in house prices of 18.9 per cent in the year to June 2002, with the Sydney (up 21.7 per cent) and Melbourne (up 19.0 per cent) markets particularly strong.
- The valuation ratio for business assets fell slightly for the first time in seven years, reflecting a fall in stock market prices.
- The valuation ratio for government securities rose slightly, commensurate with movements in interest rates in 2001-2002.


## Composition of Australian net private wealth by type of asset

The composition of wealth at market value by asset type shifted slightly during the year to 30 June 2002 (Chart 5). Dwelling assets comprised a greater proportion of Australian net private sector wealth while the share of business assets declined.

## Chart 5: Composition of Australian net private sector wealth by asset type ${ }^{(a)}$


(a) The components do not necessarily sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
(b) Includes Australian investment abroad and excludes foreign liabilities. Source: Treasury.

## An international comparison of wealth

Australian households hold a greater share of their wealth in dwelling assets than households in most G-7 nations (Chart 6). The high concentration of Australia's population in the largest cities, where house prices tend to be highest, is likely to contribute to average house prices being higher and the share of wealth in dwelling assets being higher than in other countries. ${ }^{8}$ This

[^3]difference cannot be fully explained by policies that affect the relative attractiveness of dwellings or home ownership rates.

Chart 6: Share of dwelling assets in total assets


Source: OECD Economic Outlook, ABS 5206.0 and 5232.0, Treasury.

Recent rates of growth in Australian net wealth compare favourably to the G-7 nations. ${ }^{9}$ Over the last decade ${ }^{10}$, growth in Australian net household wealth has averaged 2.4 per cent, higher than the G-7 average of 0.9 per cent (Chart 7).

[^4]10 The decade ends in 2001 as this is the latest available international data.

## Chart 7: Composition of net household wealth as a percentage of income (average annual growth 1991-2001) ${ }^{(a)}$


(a) Data for Japan are only available for 1991-2000, and data for Australia are only available for 1992-2001.
Source: OECD Economic Outlook, ABS 5206.0 and 5232.0, Treasury.

Movements in house prices and equity prices varied widely across countries over the decade (Charts 8 and 9). ${ }^{11}$ These price movements, together with the difference in wealth composition across countries, helps to explain net wealth growth over the period. For instance, dwelling assets contributed more to net household wealth growth in Australia than any of the G-7 countries, reflecting substantial house price growth and the large share of wealth held in dwellings.

11 Dwelling assets as a per cent of disposable income can be used as a proxy for house prices. Similarly, equity assets as a per cent of disposable income can be used as a proxy for equity prices. See Ellis and Andrews (2001).

(a) Dwelling assets as a per cent of disposable income.
Source: OECD Economic Outlook, ABS 5206.0, Treasury.

Chart 9: Equity assets ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$

(a) Equity assets as a per cent of disposable income. This only includes direct equity holdings, with the exception of Australia where total financial assets are used.
Source: OECD Economic Outlook, ABS 5206.0, ABS 5232.0, Treasury.
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## Appendix

## Relationship with the ABS national balance sheets

The Treasury net wealth estimates presented in this article are broadly consistent with those published in the ABS publication, Australian National Accounts: National Balance Sheet (ABS Cat. 5241.0.40.001). The main differences are that the scope of the Treasury estimates is the aggregate private sector and that the Treasury estimates are prepared using a consistent basis for valuing the assets. In addition, the Treasury estimates are available for a much longer time period, thus allowing longer-term analysis of past changes in wealth.

In terms of scope, the Treasury estimates cover the total private sector in Australia. In contrast, the ABS balance sheets are prepared for a range of institutional sectors and for Australia as a whole, but not for the private sector as such. In terms of the ABS institutional sector classifications, the private sector is the sum of the ABS household and unincorporated enterprise sector and the private sector components of each of the non-financial corporation and financial corporation sectors.

In terms of scope, the major difference is that the ABS estimates include the value of demonstrated sub-soil assets and timber in native forests. These assets are not included within the Treasury estimates for two reasons. First, the ABS estimates for these assets typically only go back to 1989; the Treasury wealth estimates are calculated for each year back to 1960. Second, the valuation of these assets is difficult. The ABS valuations involve 'calculating the expected future net income flow generated by the asset, and then discounting at some interest rate for the life of the asset'. These figures cannot easily be added to the Tables below, since it is unclear to what extent these assets are already included in the valuations of businesses. In addition, comparisons with pre-1989 data will obviously not be possible.

Another important difference between the ABS and Treasury estimates is the valuation basis that is used. As noted earlier in this article, the Treasury estimates are compiled on both a market value and replacement cost basis. In contrast, the ABS uses a replacement cost basis for produced assets and a market value basis for financial assets and liabilities. As a result, the ABS estimates of 'net worth' (or wealth) are actually based on a mix of these two valuation methodologies.

Table A: ABS valuations of sub-soil and native timber assets

| As at <br> June | Subsoil <br> assets | Native |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 62.2 | 1.2 |
| 1990 | 52.0 | 1.3 |
| 1991 | 56.4 | 1.7 |
| 1992 | 55.8 | 1.5 |
| 1993 | 66.6 | 1.7 |
| 1994 | 72.2 | 1.9 |
| 1995 | 93.5 | 2.1 |
| 1996 | 95.7 | 2.1 |
| 1997 | 114.2 | 2.2 |
| 1998 | 126.0 | 2.2 |
| 1999 | 139.7 | 2.2 |
| 2000 | 162.7 | 2.5 |
| 2001 | 199.7 | 2.9 |
| 2002 | 245.7 | 3.1 |

(a) Preliminary figures.

Source: ABS 5204.0

It is possible to reconcile the main components of the Treasury estimates of wealth at replacement cost with the estimates of produced assets in the ABS balance sheets, although allowance needs to be made for the differences in scope and coverage. While it is not generally possible to derive estimates of wealth at market value from the ABS balance sheets, it is possible to infer an estimate of the valuation ratio (the ratio of the market value of an asset to its replacement cost) for business assets. This is because the net financial assets held by the combined household and unincorporated, general government and foreign sectors (valued at market prices) should represent claims over the net physical assets held by the financial and non-financial corporation sectors (valued at replacement cost). The ratio so derived is reasonably similar to the valuation ratio for business assets presented in this article, thus confirming that, apart from the scope and coverage issues noted above, the Treasury wealth estimates are broadly consistent with the ABS estimates in the national balance sheets.

Table A1(a): Nominal private sector wealth at market value

| As at June | Dwelling Assets | Business Assets | Consumer Durables | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Govern- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { Securities } \end{array}$ | Money Base | Australian Investment Abroad | Foreign Liabilities | Total Wealth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (\$ billi |  |  |  |  | (\$ '000) |
| 1960 | 21.6 | 25.0 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 0.4 | -3.5 | 60.0 | 5.7 |
| 1961 | 23.3 | 27.2 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 | -3.1 | 64.7 | 6.0 |
| 1962 | 25.8 | 28.5 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | -3.3 | 69.4 | 6.4 |
| 1963 | 27.1 | 30.9 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 0.7 | -4.1 | 73.9 | 6.6 |
| 1964 | 31.2 | 35.5 | 6.0 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 0.8 | -5.4 | 82.7 | 7.3 |
| 1965 | 34.0 | 35.5 | 6.4 | 10.0 | 2.1 | 0.7 | -4.8 | 87.0 | 7.5 |
| 1966 | 36.1 | 39.0 | 6.8 | 10.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | -5.1 | 93.5 | 7.9 |
| 1967 | 37.7 | 40.8 | 7.1 | 11.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 | -6.0 | 97.8 | 8.1 |
| 1968 | 41.4 | 57.7 | 7.5 | 12.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | -9.3 | 117.2 | 9.6 |
| 1969 | 46.7 | 64.0 | 8.1 | 13.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 | -10.5 | 129.5 | 10.3 |
| 1970 | 53.9 | 63.9 | 8.9 | 12.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | -10.1 | 138.3 | 10.8 |
| 1971 | 61.5 | 65.8 | 9.8 | 13.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | -11.2 | 149.7 | 11.5 |
| 1972 | 70.8 | 76.3 | 10.7 | 16.2 | 3.0 | 2.5 | -14.5 | 171.3 | 12.9 |
| 1973 | 86.3 | 78.1 | 12.0 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 2.2 | -14.8 | 191.3 | 14.2 |
| 1974 | 113.4 | 78.9 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 4.5 | 2.0 | -13.0 | 222.4 | 16.2 |
| 1975 | 128.6 | 74.0 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 4.1 | 2.5 | -13.2 | 240.2 | 17.3 |
| 1976 | 147.8 | 87.5 | 21.3 | 20.1 | 5.1 | 2.9 | -18.9 | 276.7 | 19.7 |
| 1977 | 164.6 | 93.5 | 24.6 | 21.7 | 6.3 | 3.8 | -20.1 | 307.2 | 21.6 |
| 1978 | 176.8 | 105.7 | 27.5 | 25.9 | 5.9 | 4.5 | -22.1 | 338.8 | 23.6 |
| 1979 | 199.7 | 122.7 | 29.2 | 29.1 | 6.4 | 5.7 | -25.4 | 384.3 | 26.5 |
| 1980 | 232.9 | 165.1 | 33.0 | 30.5 | 6.9 | 6.5 | -34.3 | 460.2 | 31.3 |
| 1981 | 277.8 | 200.8 | 36.8 | 33.3 | 7.6 | 6.8 | -42.1 | 543.8 | 36.4 |
| 1982 | 302.3 | 187.7 | 41.8 | 34.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | -48.8 | 561.8 | 37.0 |
| 1983 | 322.3 | 219.5 | 46.8 | 44.3 | 9.1 | 10.8 | -61.3 | 622.9 | 40.5 |
| 1984 | 359.4 | 247.3 | 50.0 | 60.1 | 10.2 | 12.6 | -69.3 | 706.8 | 45.4 |
| 1985 | 406.4 | 297.9 | 54.8 | 59.6 | 11.8 | 18.0 | -89.4 | 801.0 | 50.7 |
| 1986 | 428.7 | 374.2 | 62.7 | 45.8 | 13.0 | 30.4 | -108.5 | 894.2 | 55.8 |
| 1987 | 532.3 | 506.7 | 69.8 | 54.7 | 14.1 | 44.4 | -142.2 | 1133.8 | 69.7 |
| 1988 | 597.9 | 528.2 | 74.5 | 59.0 | 15.8 | 58.1 | -158.7 | 1234.8 | 74.7 |
| 1989 | 810.2 | 617.9 | 79.8 | 47.8 | 16.7 | 70.2 | -192.9 | 1517.1 | 90.2 |
| 1990 | 867.2 | 618.0 | 86.5 | 42.7 | 17.7 | 76.5 | -215.3 | 1569.1 | 91.9 |
| 1991 | 907.1 | 592.8 | 89.4 | 64.3 | 18.7 | 75.9 | -233.6 | 1598.1 | 92.5 |
| 1992 | 964.3 | 610.5 | 92.3 | 90.5 | 19.1 | 90.4 | -253.0 | 1704.1 | 97.4 |
| 1993 | 1022.7 | 616.4 | 95.9 | 94.6 | 20.5 | 111.6 | -274.2 | 1782.5 | 100.9 |
| 1994 | 1092.7 | 720.8 | 99.5 | 90.9 | 22.0 | 135.2 | -311.6 | 1950.5 | 109.2 |
| 1995 | 1143.0 | 753.4 | 105.5 | 98.0 | 23.5 | 152.9 | -338.6 | 2045.0 | 113.2 |
| 1996 | 1186.3 | 863.3 | 109.9 | 115.6 | 24.5 | 162.3 | -367.4 | 2208.2 | 120.6 |
| 1997 | 1240.6 | 1022.6 | 110.3 | 134.5 | 34.1 | 198.7 | -423.6 | 2435.6 | 131.4 |
| 1998 | 1372.9 | 1091.6 | 114.6 | 156.5 | 31.4 | 258.2 | -509.7 | 2637.2 | 140.6 |
| 1999 | 1493.0 | 1263.1 | 117.9 | 155.1 | 31.8 | 284.4 | -571.5 | 2898.6 | 152.7 |
| 2000 | 1687.0 | 1403.4 | 122.2 | 151.3 | 28.1 | 381.1 | -689.1 | 3212.0 | 167.1 |
| 2001 | 1867.7 | 1520.9 | 130.4 | 148.8 | 29.6 | 420.2 | -775.6 | 3473.6 | 178.3 |
| 2002 (a) | 2271.3 | 1555.9 | 135.5 | 151.2 | 34.9 | 420.7 | -792.0 | 3912.8 | 198.5 |

(a) Preliminary figures.

Table A1(b): Real private sector wealth at market value ${ }^{(a)}$


[^5]Table A1(c): Real private sector wealth per person at market value ${ }^{(a)}$

| As at June | Dwelling Assets | Business Assets | Consumer Durables | Government Securities | Money Base | Australian Investment Abroad | Foreign Liabilities | Total Wealth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


(a) Real wealth is calculated by dividing nominal wealth by the private consumption deflator.
(b) Preliminary figures.

Table A2: Nominal private sector wealth at replacement cost

| As at June |  | Dwelling Assets | Business Assets | Consumer Durables | Government Securities | Money Base | Australian Investment Abroad | Foreign Liabilities | Total Wealth | Wealth per <br> Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (\$ billio |  |  |  |  | (\$ '000) |
| 1960 |  | 42.1 | 27.1 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 1.8 | 0.4 | -4.9 | 79.4 | 7.6 |
| 1961 |  | 44.9 | 30.0 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 0.5 | -4.1 | 86.4 | 8.1 |
| 1962 |  | 46.7 | 31.9 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 0.5 | -4.1 | 90.5 | 8.3 |
| 1963 |  | 49.3 | 34.1 | 5.8 | 8.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | -5.2 | 95.4 | 8.6 |
| 1964 |  | 53.0 | 37.2 | 6.0 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 0.8 | -7.4 | 101.3 | 8.9 |
| 1965 |  | 57.5 | 40.2 | 6.4 | 10.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | -5.5 | 111.5 | 9.6 |
| 1966 |  | 60.1 | 43.6 | 6.8 | 10.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | -6.2 | 117.9 | 10.0 |
| 1967 |  | 62.4 | 45.6 | 7.1 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 0.9 | -7.4 | 122.3 | 10.2 |
| 1968 |  | 65.4 | 53.2 | 7.5 | 12.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | -12.7 | 129.1 | 10.5 |
| 1969 |  | 68.2 | 57.3 | 8.1 | 13.2 | 2.4 | 1.4 | -14.2 | 136.3 | 10.9 |
| 1970 |  | 71.8 | 60.4 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 2.7 | 1.5 | -13.8 | 145.0 | 11.3 |
| 1971 |  | 76.6 | 65.7 | 9.8 | 14.6 | 2.8 | 1.9 | -14.6 | 156.6 | 12.0 |
| 1972 |  | 83.8 | 73.3 | 10.7 | 16.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | -17.9 | 171.5 | 12.9 |
| 1973 |  | 93.5 | 79.1 | 12.0 | 17.3 | 4.0 | 2.2 | -18.9 | 189.3 | 14.0 |
| 1974 |  | 110.8 | 93.2 | 14.2 | 17.9 | 4.5 | 2.0 | -16.2 | 226.3 | 16.5 |
| 1975 |  | 131.7 | 108.2 | 17.6 | 20.3 | 4.1 | 2.5 | -11.3 | 273.1 | 19.7 |
| 1976 |  | 151.8 | 124.7 | 21.3 | 23.0 | 5.1 | 2.9 | -20.7 | 308.0 | 21.9 |
| 1977 |  | 172.5 | 140.8 | 24.6 | 25.3 | 6.3 | 3.8 | -19.3 | 354.1 | 25.0 |
| 1978 |  | 191.1 | 158.4 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 5.9 | 4.5 | -21.0 | 394.3 | 27.5 |
| 1979 |  | 207.6 | 177.4 | 29.2 | 32.4 | 6.4 | 5.7 | -27.1 | 431.6 | 29.7 |
| 1980 |  | 221.9 | 206.1 | 33.0 | 35.5 | 6.9 | 6.5 | -42.8 | 467.2 | 31.8 |
| 1981 |  | 252.9 | 234.0 | 36.8 | 39.4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | -52.0 | 525.6 | 35.2 |
| 1982 |  | 284.2 | 268.7 | 41.8 | 42.8 | 8.7 | 8.7 | -74.9 | 580.0 | 38.2 |
| 1983 |  | 307.4 | 296.7 | 46.8 | 50.9 | 9.1 | 10.8 | -92.5 | 629.1 | 40.9 |
| 1984 |  | 324.6 | 316.9 | 50.0 | 64.1 | 10.2 | 12.6 | -99.9 | 678.6 | 43.6 |
| 1985 |  | 368.8 | 354.9 | 54.8 | 64.1 | 11.8 | 18.0 | -115.7 | 756.7 | 47.9 |
| 1986 |  | 402.3 | 389.1 | 62.7 | 47.8 | 13.0 | 30.4 | -121.3 | 824.0 | 51.4 |
| 1987 |  | 457.0 | 432.7 | 69.8 | 57.0 | 14.1 | 44.4 | -137.5 | 937.5 | 57.6 |
| 1988 |  | 572.6 | 496.1 | 74.5 | 59.6 | 15.8 | 58.1 | -167.3 | 1109.4 | 67.1 |
| 1989 |  | 671.7 | 581.9 | 79.8 | 50.9 | 16.7 | 70.2 | -204.5 | 1266.7 | 75.3 |
| 1990 |  | 705.1 | 607.6 | 86.5 | 45.0 | 17.7 | 76.5 | -229.5 | 1308.9 | 76.7 |
| 1991 |  | 742.5 | 593.6 | 89.4 | 63.4 | 18.7 | 75.9 | -241.2 | 1342.3 | 77.7 |
| 1992 |  | 756.0 | 587.5 | 92.3 | 82.5 | 19.1 | 90.4 | -247.3 | 1380.6 | 78.9 |
| 1993 |  | 803.1 | 607.6 | 95.9 | 84.3 | 20.5 | 111.6 | -273.8 | 1449.2 | 82.0 |
| 1994 |  | 858.2 | 629.2 | 99.5 | 89.5 | 22.0 | 135.2 | -280.3 | 1553.3 | 87.0 |
| 1995 |  | 904.9 | 661.3 | 105.5 | 96.0 | 23.5 | 152.9 | -302.8 | 1641.3 | 90.8 |
| 1996 |  | 919.8 | 688.7 | 109.9 | 112.6 | 24.5 | 162.3 | -305.6 | 1712.2 | 93.5 |
| 1997 |  | 989.6 | 714.0 | 110.3 | 123.3 | 34.1 | 198.7 | -317.4 | 1852.6 | 99.9 |
| 1998 |  | 1055.6 | 753.7 | 114.6 | 134.6 | 31.4 | 258.2 | -365.4 | 1982.8 | 105.7 |
| 1999 |  | 1147.6 | 793.8 | 117.9 | 139.7 | 31.8 | 284.4 | -380.0 | 2135.1 | 112.5 |
| 2000 |  | 1252.9 | 835.9 | 122.2 | 140.8 | 28.1 | 381.1 | -426.5 | 2334.5 | 121.4 |
| 2001 |  | 1404.1 | 862.2 | 130.4 | 138.1 | 29.6 | 420.2 | -452.8 | 2531.8 | 129.9 |
| 2002 | (a) | 1531.8 | 884.6 | 135.5 | 138.5 | 34.9 | 420.7 | -463.5 | 2682.4 | 136.1 |

[^6]Table A3: Valuation ratios for selected wealth components

| As at June | Dwelling Assets(a) | \% growt | Business <br> Assets(b) | \% growth | Government Securities(c) | \% growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 0.513 |  | 0.923 |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 0.519 | 1.1 | 0.907 | -1.7 | 0.974 |  |
| 1962 | 0.552 | 6.5 | 0.893 | -1.5 | 1.012 | 3.9 |
| 1963 | 0.550 | -0.5 | 0.906 | 1.4 | 1.045 | 3.2 |
| 1964 | 0.589 | 7.1 | 0.954 | 5.3 | 1.021 | -2.3 |
| 1965 | 0.591 | 0.4 | 0.883 | -7.5 | 0.990 | -3.0 |
| 1966 | 0.601 | 1.6 | 0.894 | 1.3 | 1.000 | 1.0 |
| 1967 | 0.604 | 0.6 | 0.895 | 0.0 | 1.009 | 0.9 |
| 1968 | 0.633 | 4.8 | 1.085 | 21.2 | 1.008 | 0.0 |
| 1969 | 0.685 | 8.2 | 1.117 | 3.0 | 0.985 | -2.3 |
| 1970 | 0.751 | 9.6 | 1.058 | -5.3 | 0.919 | -6.7 |
| 1971 | 0.803 | 7.0 | 1.002 | -5.3 | 0.938 | 2.1 |
| 1972 | 0.845 | 5.2 | 1.041 | 3.9 | 1.006 | 7.2 |
| 1973 | 0.923 | 9.2 | 0.987 | -5.1 | 0.954 | -5.2 |
| 1974 | 1.023 | 10.9 | 0.847 | -14.3 | 0.810 | -15.1 |
| 1975 | 0.976 | -4.6 | 0.684 | -19.2 | 0.852 | 5.2 |
| 1976 | 0.974 | -0.3 | 0.702 | 2.6 | 0.874 | 2.5 |
| 1977 | 0.954 | -2.0 | 0.664 | -5.4 | 0.858 | -1.9 |
| 1978 | 0.925 | -3.0 | 0.667 | 0.5 | 0.928 | 8.2 |
| 1979 | 0.962 | 4.0 | 0.692 | 3.7 | 0.898 | -3.2 |
| 1980 | 1.050 | 9.1 | 0.801 | 15.8 | 0.859 | -4.3 |
| 1981 | 1.098 | 4.7 | 0.858 | 7.1 | 0.845 | -1.6 |
| 1982 | 1.064 | -3.2 | 0.699 | -18.6 | 0.806 | -4.6 |
| 1983 | 1.048 | -1.4 | 0.740 | 5.9 | 0.870 | 8.0 |
| 1984 | 1.107 | 5.6 | 0.780 | 5.5 | 0.938 | 7.7 |
| 1985 | 1.102 | -0.5 | 0.839 | 7.6 | 0.930 | -0.8 |
| 1986 | 1.066 | -3.3 | 0.962 | 14.6 | 0.958 | 3.1 |
| 1987 | 1.165 | 9.3 | 1.171 | 21.8 | 0.960 | 0.2 |
| 1988 | 1.044 | -10.4 | 1.065 | -9.1 | 0.990 | 3.2 |
| 1989 | 1.206 | 15.5 | 1.062 | -0.3 | 0.939 | -5.1 |
| 1990 | 1.230 | 2.0 | 1.017 | -4.2 | 0.949 | 1.0 |
| 1991 | 1.222 | -0.7 | 0.999 | -1.8 | 1.014 | 6.9 |
| 1992 | 1.276 | 4.4 | 1.039 | 4.1 | 1.097 | 8.2 |
| 1993 | 1.273 | -0.2 | 1.014 | -2.4 | 1.122 | 2.3 |
| 1994 | 1.273 | 0.0 | 1.146 | 12.9 | 1.016 | -9.5 |
| 1995 | 1.263 | -0.8 | 1.139 | -0.6 | 1.021 | 0.5 |
| 1996 | 1.290 | 2.1 | 1.254 | 10.0 | 1.027 | 0.6 |
| 1997 | 1.254 | -2.8 | 1.432 | 14.3 | 1.091 | 6.3 |
| 1998 | 1.301 | 3.7 | 1.448 | 1.1 | 1.163 | 6.6 |
| 1999 | 1.301 | 0.0 | 1.591 | 9.9 | 1.110 | -4.5 |
| 2000 | 1.346 | 3.5 | 1.679 | 5.5 | 1.075 | -3.2 |
| 2001 | 1.330 | -1.2 | 1.764 | 5.1 | 1.077 | 0.3 |
| 2002 (d) | 1.483 | 11.5 | 1.759 | -0.3 | 1.092 | 1.3 |
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Chart 1: Selected international indicators Panel A: Short-term interest rates ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$


Dec-92 Dec-93 Dec-94 Dec-95 Dec-96 Dec-97 Dec-98 Dec-99 Dec-00 Dec-01 Dec-02
(a) Short-term interest rates are monthly averages and are defined as follows: US - 3-month certificates of deposits, Japan - 3-month certificates of deposit, Australia - 90-day bank accepted bills and Germany - 3-month FIBOR.
Source: OECD Main Economic Indicators.

## Panel B: Real output ${ }^{(a)}$


(a) Seasonally adjusted real GDP growth for each major trading partner is weighted by their respective shares of total Australian merchandise exports averaging from 1998-99 to 2000-01. Major trading partners are Japan, US, South Korea, New Zealand, China, Taiwan, Singapore, UK, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Malaysia, Italy, Thailand, Canada, Germany, the Philippines and France.

## Panel C: Current account balances ${ }^{(a)}$



Data are seasonally adjusted.
Source: Data are from statistical agencies of respective countries, except for Germany which is from the OECD Main Economic Indicators.

(a) The aggregate inflation rates are derived from the weighted average of inflation rates of individual trading partners, with the weights being their respective shares of Australian total merchandise trade from 1998-99 to 2000-01. Major trading partners are Japan, US, South Korea, New Zealand, China, Taiwan, Singapore, UK, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Malaysia, Italy, Thailand, Canada, Germany, the Philippines and France.
Source: Data for Japan, US, South Korea, New Zealand, Taiwan, Singapore, UK, Hong Kong, Indonesia. Canada and Germany are from the ABS All Groups CPI (excluding housing) measure. For the rest of Australia's MTPs (China, Malaysia, Italy, Thailand, the Philippines and France), the CPI are from each country's respective all groups CPI series which exclude the effects of mortgage interest rate changes.
Chart 2: Contributions to trend quarterly GDP growth

Table 1: Components of Gross Domestic Product (chain volume measures)

|  | Final domestic demand |  |  |  |  | Domestic final demand | Exports | Imports | GDP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Household consumption | Private investment in dwellings | Private business fixed investment | Private final demand | Public final demand |  |  |  |  |
| Year | (Percentage change on preceding year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 4.1 | 14.3 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 9.4 | 12.5 | 4.0 |
| 2000-01 | 3.0 | -20.9 | -4.6 | -0.1 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 7.2 | -1.3 | 1.8 |
| 2001-02 | 3.5 | 19.5 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 | -1.5 | 2.3 | 3.9 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on preceding quarter - Trend) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 0.8 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Dec | 1.0 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | -0.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| 2002 Mar | 1.2 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 |
| Jun | 1.2 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.8 |
| Sep | 1.0 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.7 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on preceding quarter - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 0.5 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.5 | -0.9 | -1.3 | 1.3 |
| Dec | 0.9 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.1 | -3.2 | 3.9 | 1.2 |
| 2002 Mar | 1.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| Jun | 1.5 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 0.8 |
| Sep | 0.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.0 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on a year earlier - Trend) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 2.9 | -5.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | -4.8 | 3.0 |
| Dec | 3.3 | 16.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.4 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 4.0 |
| 2002 Mar | 3.8 | 29.9 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 5.9 | -1.1 | 5.3 | 4.4 |
| Jun | 4.2 | 28.1 | 11.1 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | -0.8 | 10.4 | 4.1 |
| Sep | 4.4 | 23.4 | 13.0 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 12.7 | 3.6 |

Table 2: Contributions to change in Gross Domestic Product (chain volume measures)

|  | Final domestic demand |  |  |  |  |  | Change in inventories |  | Net exports | GDP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Household consumption | Private investment in dwellings | Private business fixed investment | Private final demand | Public final demand | Total final demand | Private non-farm | Farm \& public authority |  |  |
| Year | (Contribution to change in GDP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 5.2 | -0.4 | 0.0 | -0.8 | 4.0 |
| 2000-01 | 1.8 | -1.3 | -0.6 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 2001-02 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.9 | 3.9 |
| Quarter | (Contribution to change in GDP - Trend) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 1.3 |
| Dec | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.7 | 1.1 |
| 2002 Mar | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.9 | 0.9 |
| Jun | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 0.8 |
| Sep | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.5 | 0.7 |
| Quarter | (Contribution to change in GDP - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| Dec | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | -1.5 | 1.2 |
| 2002 Mar | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 0.7 |
| Jun | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.9 | 0.8 |
| Sep | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 0.9 |

Table 3: Gross value-added by industry (chain volume measures)

|  | Agriculture, forestry \& fishing | Mining | Manu-facturing | Electr- <br> icity, <br>  <br> water | Construction | Wholesale trade |  |  | Accommodation, cafes \& estaurants | Transport \& storage | Communication services | Finance \& insurance services | Property \& business services | Gov. <br> administ- <br>  <br> defence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Edu- } \\ & \text { cation } \end{aligned}$ | Health \& community services | Cultural <br> \& recreational services | Personal \& other services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | (Percentage change on preceding year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 3 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| 2000-01 | -0.5 | 8.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | -15.6 | -0.4 | 1.7 | 7 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 2.5 |
| 2001-02 | 5.3 | -0.3 | 3.1 | -0.4 | 12.0 | 3.6 | 5.5 | . 5 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 4.6 |
| Quarter | (Change on previous quarter - Trend) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Jun | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 1.3 | . 3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Sep | 1.1 | -0.4 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 1. | 1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.0 | -0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Dec | 3.4 | -0.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1. | 4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 2002 Mar | 1.8 | -0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | . 7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| Jun | -1.6 | -0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | . 5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | -0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Sep | -3.5 | -1.0 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | . 3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Quarter | (Change on previous quarter - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Jun | -1.2 | -0.7 | 2.8 | -1.7 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | . 7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.7 | -1.9 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 3.6 |
| Sep | -0.2 | -1.7 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 1. | . 4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | -1.2 | 3.3 | -1.2 |
| Dec | 3.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 1.1 | . 1 | -2.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | -1.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | -0.3 | 2.4 |
| 2002 Mar | 5.0 | -0.2 | 1.1 | -0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | -1.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | -0.5 | 0.4 | -0.3 |
| Jun | -0.7 | -2.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 1.7 | . 7 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | -1.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | -2.1 |
| Sep | -11.4 | -0.9 | -1.0 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 | . 9 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| Quarter | (Change on year earlier - Trend) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Jun | -0.1 | 5.2 | 0.1 | -1.0 | -12.6 | -1.7 | 3.5 | . 5 | 5.2 | 5.1 | -0.5 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 8.6 | -1.1 | 8.1 |
| Sep | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.3 | -1.6 | -0.7 | 0.7 | 4. | . 4 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 8.8 | -0.9 | 9.2 |
| Dec | 5.0 | 0.3 | 3.2 | -1.1 | 11.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 | . 1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 6.8 |
| 2002 Mar | 6.8 | -1.0 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 17.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | . 6 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Jun | 4.7 | -1.8 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 17.3 | 6.4 | 5.8 | . 8 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 1.6 |
| Sep | -0.1 | -2.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 17.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 | . 0 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | -1.0 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

Table 4: Real household income ${ }^{(\text {a) }}$

|  | Non-farm employees | Non-farm average earnings | Non-farm compensation employees | Gross mixed income | Household income | Household disposable income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | (Percentage change on preceding year) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| 2000-01 | 2.7 | -0.9 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| 2001-02 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 11.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on preceding quarter - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | -0.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 8.7 | -0.5 | -1.3 |
| Dec | 0.4 | -0.4 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| 2002 Mar | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Jun | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | -1.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Sep | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | -2.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on year earlier - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 0.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 1.0 | -0.1 |
| Dec | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 13.5 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| 2002 Mar | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 13.3 | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| Jun | 1.4 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 10.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Sep | 2.3 | 1.0 | 3.3 | -0.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 |

(a) Deflated by the implicit price deflator for private final consumption expenditure. Source: ABS Cat. Nos. 5204.0 and 5206.0.
Table 5: Wages, labour costs and company income

|  | Average weekly earnings (survey basis) |  | Non-farm average earnings (national accounts basis) ${ }^{(a)}$ | Unit labour costs |  | Factor shares |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time adult ordinary time earnings ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | All persons total earnings ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |  | Nominal ${ }^{(b)}$ | Real ${ }^{(c)}$ | Wage share ${ }^{(d)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Profit } \\ \text { share }^{(\mathrm{e})} \end{array}$ |
| Year |  | (Perce | hange on preceding |  | (Index) | (per cent) | (per cent) |
| 1999-00 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 95.7 | 54.5 | 23.6 |
| 2000-01 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 96.4 | 54.8 | 23.3 |
| 2001-02 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 95.8 | 54.3 | 23.5 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on preceding quarter - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | -0.5 | 96.5 | 54.8 | 22.8 |
| Dec | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | -0.6 | 95.2 | 54.1 | 23.7 |
| 2002 Mar | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 95.4 | 53.8 | 23.9 |
| Jun | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 95.7 | 54.1 | 23.8 |
| Sep | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 95.1 | 54.4 | 23.9 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on year earlier - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Sep | 5.1 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| Dec | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | -0.2 |  |  |  |
| 2002 Mar | 6.2 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| Jun | 5.2 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| Sep | 4.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.0 |  |  |  |

[^8]Table 6: Prices

|  | Consumer Price Index ${ }^{(a)}$ |  | Implicit price deflators ${ }^{\left({ }^{\text {( ) }} \text { ( }\right.}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All groups | All groups excl housing | Gross non-farm product | Household final consumption expenditure |
| Year | (Percentage change on preceding year) |  |  |  |
| 1998-99 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| 1999-00 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| 2000-01 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| 2001-02 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on preceding quarter) |  |  |  |
| Dec | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Mar | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 2001 Jun | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Sep | 0.3 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0.1 |
| Dec | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Mar | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| 2002 Jun | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Sep | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Quarter | (Percentage change on a year earlier) |  |  |  |
| Dec | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 4.7 |
| Mar | 6.0 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 |
| 2001 Jun | 6.0 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| Sep | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Dec | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Mar | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 2002 Jun | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Sep | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 |

[^9]Table 7: Labour market

|  | ANZ Bank job advertisements series | Employed persons |  |  | Unemployment |  | Participation rate (per cent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full-time | Part-time | Total | Rate (per cent) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Persons } \\ (' 000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Year ${ }^{(a)}$ | (Percentag | change on | receding y |  |  |  |  |
| 1998-99 | 15.2 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 691.7 | 63.1 |
| 1999-00 | 15.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 634.5 | 63.4 |
| 2000-01 | -22.5 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 625.5 | 63.7 |
| 2001-02 | -12.1 | -0.6 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 656.8 | 63.7 |

(Percentage change on preceding quarter

| Quarter $^{(\mathbf{a})}$ | Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 Mar | 6.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 |  |
| Jun | 7.0 | -0.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |
| Sep | -3.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  |
| Dec | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 |  |
| (Percentage change on a year earlier |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter ${ }^{(\mathbf{a})}$ | - Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 Mar | -7.6 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.8 |  |
| Jun | 11.5 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 1.5 |  |
| Sep | 7.7 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 |  |
| Dec | 10.0 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 2.5 |  |

(Percentage change on preceding month

| Month | Seasonally adjusted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2002 Jan | 12.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 692.5 | 64.1 |
| Feb | -5.4 | -0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 652.5 | 63.9 |
| Mar | -8.8 | 0.5 | -0.6 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 622.3 | 63.8 |
| Apr | 23.6 | -0.8 | 0.4 | -0.5 | 6.3 | 621.6 | 63.4 |
| May | -8.2 | 1.1 | -1.2 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 622.5 | 63.6 |
| Jun | -1.8 | -1.0 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 643.9 | 63.7 |
| Jul | -0.6 | -0.3 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 6.2 | 609.4 | 63.3 |
| Aug | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 622.7 | 63.8 |
| Sep | 3.2 | 0.2 | -1.6 | -0.3 | 6.2 | 617.1 | 63.5 |
| Oct | 4.4 | -0.9 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 598.6 | 63.4 |
| Nov | -2.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 6.1 | 616.8 | 63.8 |
| Dec | -13.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 628.4 | 64.2 |

(a) All figures refer to period averages.

Sources: ANZ Bank and ABS Cat. No. 6202.0.
Table 8: Current account

(a) The ratio of the implicit price deflator for exports of goods and services to the implicit price deflator for imports of goods and services, 2000-01 $=100$, calculated on a National Accounts basis
Sources: ABS Cat. Nos. 5368.0, 5302.0 and 5206.0.

Table 9: Australia's external liabilities

|  | Public sector <br> gross debt | Private sector <br> gross debt | Total gross <br> debt | Net debt |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Net external |
| ---: |
| liabilities |

[^10]Table 10: Australia's income flows
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Public sector } \\ \text { gross debt }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Private sector } \\ \text { gross debt }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total gross } \\ \text { debt }\end{array} & \text { Net debt }\end{array} \begin{array}{rl}\text { Net external } \\ \text { liabilities }\end{array}\right)$

Source: ABS Cat. No. 5302.0.
Table 11: Selected economic indicators

|  | Inventories to total sales ${ }^{(a)}$ | Imports to domestic sales ${ }^{(a)}$ | Saving ratio ${ }^{(\text {b }}$ | Nominal exchange rates |  | Real exchange rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | USD / AUD ${ }^{(c)}$ | Trade weighted index ${ }^{(c)}$ | Export weighted index ${ }^{(d)}$ |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 0.877 | 0.384 | 2.2 | 0.6290 | 55.2 | 105.1 |
| 2000-01 | 0.864 | 0.412 | 3.8 | 0.5379 | 50.3 | 100.1 |
| 2001-02 | 0.819 | 0.388 | 1.8 | 0.5239 | 50.8 | 102.7 |
| Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Jun | 0.85 | 0.41 | 4.1 | 0.5127 | 49.6 | 100.4 |
| Sep | 0.845 | 0.389 | 2.0 | 0.5138 | 49.3 | 99.5 |
| Dec | 0.828 | 0.389 | 2.2 | 0.5123 | 49.6 | 100.2 |
| 2002 Mar | 0.81 | 0.386 | 1.6 | 0.5181 | 51.0 | 103.9 |
| Jun | 0.793 | 0.388 | 0.7 | 0.5515 | 53.4 | 107.3 |
| Sep | 0.785 | 0.386 | 0.5 | 0.5478 | 50.9 | 103.7 |

[^11]
## Articles in the Economic Roundup

Details of articles published in the past two editions of the Economic Roundup are listed below:

| Spring 2002 | 2001-02 in review: strong growth in the midst of an <br> international slowdown |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sustainable development — to what end |  |
| Renewable energy — a clean alternative? |  |
| Winter 2002 | Risks to the global economic outlook — Implications for the <br> Asia-Pacific region |
| Inquiry into superannuation and standards of living in <br> retirement - Submission by the Commonwealth Treasury |  |
| The effectiveness of fiscal policy in Australia - selected |  |
| issues |  |
| A survey of international fiscal policy issues - current |  |
| drivers and future challenges |  |

Key themes from the Treasury Business Liaison Program May/June 2002

Copies of these articles are available from the Treasury. Written requests should be sent to The Manager, Economic Conditions Unit, Department of the Treasury, Langton Crescent, Parkes, ACT, 2600. Telephone requests should be directed to Ms Stephanie Tsikleas on (02) 62633797.

Copies may be downloaded from the Treasury web site (http://www.treasury.gov.au).

The index of articles and other major Treasury publications is published on the Treasury website, at http://www.treasury.gov.au. The website provides a comprehensive list of press releases, speeches, publications, annual reports, legislation, discussion papers, submissions and articles released by the Department. Information on the Treasury website can be downloaded in PDF and RTF formats, or read online.


[^0]:    1 A detailed explanation of the Treasury Business Liaison Program is provided in the Treasury Economic Roundup Spring 2001. Further information is contained in the May/June business liaison summary report in the Winter 2002 Economic Roundup.
    2 Summary reports of Treasury's business liaison reflect the views and opinions of contacts. A summary of business conditions reported by liaison contacts is provided for the information of readers. While Treasury's evaluation of the economic outlook is informed by findings from business liaison, a much wider range of information and data is utilised to ensure a rigorous assessment of the Australian economy.

[^1]:    1 The consumption deflator is used for this purpose.

[^2]:    5 The wealth estimates presented in this article measure wealth owned by Australians, regardless of where that wealth is located. For example, an Australian-owned factory located overseas contributes to Australian net private wealth, while an overseas-owned factory located in Australia does not.
    6 The (depreciated) replacement cost is the price which would have to be paid for an identical asset which is in the same condition and expected to yield the same flow of services as the original asset. It is the relevant concept for physical assets such as consumer durables, the stock of dwellings and the business capital stock. The equivalent concept is the face value, which in the case of debt, for example, represents the price (excluding any accrued interest or dividends) which the borrower promises to repay the lender on expiry of the loan.
    7 See the article, 'The Measurement of Saving in Australia', in the Spring 1999 Economic Roundup.

[^3]:    8 See Ellis and Andrews (2001).

[^4]:    9 Comparisons are based on net household wealth as a percentage of disposable income. Data limitations do not enable a comparison to take place using net private wealth. Data for the G-7 nations is sourced from OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2002/03, No. 72 December. A comparable household measure for Australia is sourced from ABS National Accounts (5206.0),ABS Financial Accounts (5232.0) and Treasury.

[^5]:    (a) Real wealth is calculated by dividing nominal wealth by the private consumption deflator.
    (b) Preliminary figures.

[^6]:    (a) Preliminary figures.

[^7]:    (a) Established house prices divided by the deflator for dwelling investment.
    (b) Equity prices divided by the deflator for business fixed investment.
    (c) Market price divided by face value.
    (d) Preliminary figures.

[^8]:    (a) All numbers derived from seasonally adjusted data
    (b) Ratio of nominal hourly labour costs (non-farm compensation of employees, plus payroll tax and fringe benefits tax less employment subsidies, per hour worked by non-farm wage and salary earners) to average hourly productivity (real gross non-farm product per hour worked by all employed persons).
    (c) Nominal unit labour costs as defined in footnote (a) deflated by the derived implicit price deflator for gross non-farm product. (Base for index: $1998-99=100.0$ ).
    Compensation of employees as a share of total factor income.

    Gross operating surplus of corporations as a share of total factor income.
    Sources: ABS Cat. Nos 5204.05206 .0 and 63020

[^9]:    (a) Based on the weighted average of eight capital cities consumer price index.
    (b) Quarterly figures are derived from seasonally adjusted data.

    Sources: ABS Cat. Nos. 6401.0 and 5206.0.

[^10]:    Source: ABS Cat. Nos. 5302.0 and 5206.0.

[^11]:    (a) ABS National Accounts measure. All numbers derived from seasonally adjusted data.
    (b) Ratio of household saving to household disposable income derived from seasonally adjusted data. (c) Exchange rates refer to the period average.
    (d) Treasury estimate using GDP deflators.

