

Capel, Michael

From: Ray, Nigel
Sent: Monday, 11 October 2010 10:30 AM
To: Lonsdale, John; Henry, Ken; Murphy, Jim
Cc: Douglas, Justin; Burston, Matthew; Capel, Michael; Woods, David; Maloney, Matthew; Crago, Kristy
Subject: RE: FCS Charging Options ~~[SEC - IN CONFIDENCE]~~

Thanks John

I suggest that we try and get together with Ken and Jim to discuss this.

I'll ask Kristy to try and find a time.

Nigel

From: Lonsdale, John
Sent: Thursday, 7 October 2010 8:13 PM
To: Henry, Ken; Murphy, Jim; Ray, Nigel
Cc: Douglas, Justin; Burston, Matthew; Capel, Michael; Woods, David; Maloney, Matthew
Subject: FCS Charging Options ~~[SEC - IN CONFIDENCE]~~

Ken/Jim/Nigel

The attached paper discusses issues related to imposing a charge as part of the Financial Claims Scheme and has been a joint effort between FSD, BPD and TAD. It concludes that the approach could raise considerable revenue but it is not without sensitivities .

It is very much work in progress and if we went down this route there would be a number of design elements that we would need to work through. The revenue numbers are indicative only and are based on eligible deposits [REDACTED] [s47] We are working up additional revenue estimates on a broader base (total liabilities) and some cameos on the impact on a big bank, 2nd tier and a credit union. Finally, it very much concentrates on the FCS and does not consider broader tax based options that could be applied to the financial sector

John