1 About Community Foundations

Community Foundations are independent charitable organisations working in a specific geographic area which, over time, aim to build up a collection of endowed funds from many donors in the community.

The CF provides services to the community and its donors, makes grants and undertakes community leadership and partnership activities to address a wide variety of needs in its service area.

A community foundation builds capacity within the community to address local needs and opportunities. They build available funds from which grants are made to local charitable organisations.

2 About the Geelong Community Foundation

The Geelong Community Foundation was formed in the year 2000 and since that time has grown with donations from community businesses and individuals to have a fund valued at $12,500,000. This year grants totalling $500,000 were made to 30 different charitable organisations in the community served by the GCF which covers the Surfcoast Shire, Borough of Queenscliffe, City of Greater Geelong and the southern half of the Golden Plains Shire.

Over eleven years of operation, the Foundation has distributed around $2,000,000 in Charitable grants.

3 Issues Facing Community Foundations

3.1 Overview of issues

There are a number of issues facing community foundations relevant to the “Definition of Charity”. These are:

(a) Lack of clarity on the meaning of charity. How to identify charitable purposes and or entities.

(b) Lack of organisations endorsed as charitable by the ATO in rural areas (they may be eligible for endorsement but have not done so).
There is some confusion in relation to the process of ‘opting in’ under State law to enable the public ancillary fund (but not the charitable company or trust) to make grants to item 1 DGRs without charitable status.

The attachment contains some examples\(^1\) of activities a community foundation may want to pursue or fund. They would like to ensure the definition and the guidance material from ACNC cover these types of activities.

### 3.2 Clarity as to meaning of charity

To enable community foundations to maximise their capacity and potential, it is essential that there it is readily made clear regarding the range of activities and the projects that can be funded or pursued directly, while operating within their charitable status endorsement.

Community Foundations are also in the perfect position within communities to provide advice and demonstrate best practice relevant to all not for profit community organisations. Ideally a community foundation should be able to access and understand the requirements for recognition as a charity in order to be able to assist community organisations seek further funding.

For these reasons, community foundations support a full list of charitable purposes in the proposed legislation.

**Consultation question 16:** Is the list of charitable purposes in the Charities Bill 2003 and the extension of Charitable Purposes Act 2004 an appropriate list of charitable purposes?

**Consultation question 17:** If not, what other charitable purposes have strong public recognition that is charitable which would improve clarity if listed?

The following should be included in the list for particular relevance to community foundations:

- **(a) Community capacity building** – This includes developing the ability, skills and confidence of members of a community in ways that enable them to participate in and benefit the community and or improves the effectiveness and level of participation in community organisations;

- **(b) Community development** – building a community in response to the needs of the members of the community which may include:
  - (1) retraining, finding employment, providing work experience, skills development, business incubation in disadvantaged areas or for people who have or are likely to experience difficulty in obtaining and maintaining employment;
  - (2) providing facilities for meeting and holding events;
  - (3) preservation or restoration of the natural and built environment, including community gardens, erecting statues, providing historical information;
  - (4) providing health and community services information;

---

\(^1\) Adapted from Catherine Brown’s submission to PMC on Community Foundations, structure and DGR status 23 June 2004.
(5) improving community facilities and access, including community transport;

(6) supporting non profit community groups with open entry requirements, including clubs and interest groups, which help in reducing social isolation or promote a sense of community, in rural and regional areas.

(c) Improving the effectiveness or providing support and benefits to charitable organisations;

(d) Promoting volunteering, giving and involvement in the community.

Community foundations support a full list including the areas which have been accepted as charitable, for ease of understanding, similar to other jurisdictions listed at the end of the Consultation Paper.

3.3 **Sufficient section of the public**

Consultation question 3: Are any changes required to the Charities Bill 2003 to clarify the meaning of “public” or “a sufficient section of the general community”?

There should be further clarification of a ‘sufficient section of the public’ to a section which is not numerically negligible compared with the size of that part of the community to whom the purpose would be relevant. To ensure that charities in geographically isolated or other small rural communities continue to meet the public benefit requirement.

3.4 **Inclusion of sport and government entities**

Amateur sport and the fact that it is not currently “Charitable” is a major issue in many communities and especially so in rural and regional areas. Also government controlled entities and their treatment causes significant issues in country and city areas.

A major step forward in modernising the definition of charity in Australia in line with public opinion would be made if the following 2 areas are included in the list of charitable purposes. These two areas cause much frustration and lack of understanding within the community when community foundations are unable to provide support to:

* amateur sports programs and facilities; and
* government controlled entities such state schools, hospitals, community halls/centres, public pools, art galleries, museums, libraries, etc.

In rural and regional Australia, the sporting clubs and government owned facilities are often the centre of the community. The sporting clubs provide much more than sport for sport’s sake, in terms of community building, reducing social isolation, providing a meeting point and facilities that often available to other community groups.
State schools are also often the hub of a community and in disadvantaged areas can be very much in need of additional support for building or ground maintenance and development or for equipment and additional programs, counsellors, tutors, etc. The public consider education is charitable so find it hard to distinguish between non charitable State schools and private non profit schools which are charitable.

Local government often seeks to run programs and provide vital infrastructure to the community which community foundations often want to support.

Not being able to support and fund these excluded groups in all areas, but particularly in a rural and regional context, is confusing and frustrating to most communities and seen as being unfair given the often vital role these institutions play in these communities.

The sporting purpose can be restricted to sports which promote health and wellbeing by involving physical or mental skill or exertion and are open to all, regardless of ability. Consistent with this would be the provision and support of recreational facilities similar to overseas and some State jurisdictions.

These issues are of particular importance in rural and regional Australia but also have relevance in disadvantaged urban Australia, but many Australians would say important to all of Australia!

3.5 **Simplification of issues relating to government entities**

Consultation question 15: In the light of the Central Bayside decision is the existing definition of “government body” in the Charities Bill 2003 adequate?

Consultation question 18: What changes are required to the Charities Bill 2003 and other Commonwealth, State and Territory laws to achieve a harmonised definition of charity?

The issue of whether an entity is a government body or controlled by government is of material importance in determining eligibility to charitable status. But is this distinction still relevant and should it be continued in the legislative definition?

This exclusion causes confusion in the community and frustration that organisations that the community considers charitable, are in fact not charitable due to their connection with government (examples of this include State schools, hospitals, community halls/centres, public pools, art galleries, museums, libraries, etc – see discussion in the point above). This affects the organisation’s ability to receive funding from charitable foundations.

Currently under some State Acts, trusts are given the power to “opt in” to make grants to non-charitable entities which are DGRs. [In Victoria there is an additional requirement that the entity would be charitable but for its connection with government.]
These provisions cause considerable confusion and complexity for the boards of the trustees of charitable trusts both in understanding the divergence between Commonwealth and State laws and in the complexity of the application.

As a result very few community foundations have exercised this power in respect of their public ancillary funds.

There may be a further concern once the Australian Charities and Not for profit Commission (ACNC) commences its register of charities as those trusts which have opted in will not appear on the register unless they are specifically included in the proposed list of charitable purposes. Not appearing on the register could be seen as a marketing disadvantage to those trusts raising money from the public.

In addition to the confusion, the charitable trusts of community foundations which are not public ancillary funds would also wish to take advantage of the State law enabling them to “opt in” but are unable to do so. It is complicated to understand why the public ancillary fund can give to non charitable item 1 DGRs but the charitable trust cannot.

In summary:

- Charitable trusts which are not private or public ancillary funds cannot make grants to government entities (including government controlled entities) for their general operations or for infrastructure;

- Charitable trusts which are not private or public ancillary funds can make grants to government entities (including government controlled entities) for charitable purposes over and above their usual operations (Re Cain and discussion in 279 and 280 in TR 2011/4);

- Charitable private or public ancillary funds cannot make grants to non-charitable item 1 DGRs;

- Private or public ancillary funds in States where there is State legislation which enables them to opt in by making a declaration and changing tax status from a charitable fund to an income tax exempt fund, can make grants to non-charitable item 1 DGRs, provided the trust makes the declaration and ceases to be charitable under ITAA 97 and presumably under the proposed legislation on the definition of charity.
The complex issues created by the divergence in State and Commonwealth laws and the confusion surrounding entities ‘controlled by government’ could be simplified by (in decreasing order of preference) by:

(a) allowing a government body to be a charity;

(b) inserting in the proposed list of charitable purposes:

(1) providing money, property or benefits to government bodies which would be charitable but for their connection with government;

(2) providing money, property or benefits to Item 1 DGRs which are exempt bodies even if they are not charitable.

3.6 Restrictions in the event of a disaster

Consultation question 19: What are the current problems and limitations with ADRFs?

Reacting to natural disasters is a real and on-going concern of all rural and regional community foundations. A large amount of money can be raised immediately after a disaster, without the need for a tax deduction, and this is best to be received in the charitable not tax deductible entity of the community foundation to maximise possible recipients and application.

There are two issues for community foundations which arise in the event of a disaster:

(a) Understanding how the money can be applied for charitable purposes; and

(b) Where the funds are from tax deductible donations to the public ancillary fund, how to distribute this money and whether in order to the respond to the needs of the community and the expectations of the donors it is necessary to set up a separate Australian Disaster Relief Fund (ADRF).

In the context of the proposed legislation regarding the definition of charity, it would be of assistance to communities in rural and regional areas recovering from a disaster for:

(a) the suggestion above that government bodies are included as charities; and

(b) the issues listed in the December 2009 legislation as a consequences of the Victorian bushfires are specifically deemed as charitable.

Many (if not all in the majority of rural areas) of the community assets and infrastructure (such as community halls, community centres, sports and recreational centres, theatre halls, playgrounds) will normally be owned by the local government or owned and operated by sporting or other recreational clubs. In most respects these will not come within the definition of charitable. Additionally the community foundation may want to fund the local state schools or health centres for additional counsellors or for other goods and services.
The affected community and the donors do not understand why charities and charitable foundations who have received money for these communities cannot then fund what the community needs and wants. These issues severely hamper the community’s access to funding and also severely limit the ability of community and other foundations wishing to support disaster recovery. It also frustrates and annoys donors and supporters – the general public.

**Examples of community building/development activities:**

Some sample projects are described below which show the type of charitable project that a community foundation might want to fund or facilitate in their local area.

1 **Skate Park:** The youth of the Northern Futures region lack constructive activities. Many are partly or wholly unemployed, often having left school early. The community foundation wants to raise funds for a skate park, which will provide young people with a venue and an interest. It will provide them with opportunities to create new social contacts and to create some community events and competitions. Recognition for their achievements in event managing and skateboarding will develop their self-esteem and possibly lead to other opportunities within this area of the community.

2 **Internet Café:** The community leaders want to set up not-for-profit Internet café. The café will be operated to provide employment and training opportunities for the young people with policies to encourage turn over to maximise the opportunities for training and experience for all in need. The café will also act as a drop in centre for the area. Eventually the community hopes to run computer courses for all members of the community from the café. In urban areas there often are “not for profit agencies” that facilitate these types of projects and programmes. In many rural and regional areas there is no agency available to undertake this type of project. Local Government cannot access charitable funds to undertake this type of project.

3 **Opportunities Program:** The community of Whittington wishes to set up a program that encourages disadvantaged children to stay in school or be able to follow their dream or talent. The project will offer ‘scholarships’ which will fund basic provisions required to stay at school and participate fully e.g. for books, uniforms, equipment, stationery, excursions, breakfast, lunch and travel; or to follow their dream e.g. music tuition, instruments, music, transport to competitions or sporting clothes and equipment, transport to competitions, coaching. Funds cannot be granted to a government school.
4 **Women’s Enterprise Program:** Some women who have been out of the workforce or may be underemployed along with some of our refugee members of the community and men who are refugees:

Are keen to establish small businesses based on skills they have developed such as soap making, gourmet food production, website design for community groups, organic gardening and so on. This project could be established in Labuan Square which is an underutilised strip shopping centre in Norlane. The Community Foundation is aware there are a significant number of long term unemployed women, youths and refugees and believes the public benefit in supporting a time limited business incubator outweighs any private benefit to the women or youths involved. There will also be a social welfare and economic benefit in the community potentially generating a flow on effect in employment. The Foundation wants to fund a program of small grants, revolving loans, and expert mentors to support this work and a Centre where this program could be promoted and where the small business could be located.

5 **Community Leadership:** A Community Foundation has contact with many different community groups who are keen to improve their organisational and volunteer management and fundraising skills. The Board of the community foundation would like to develop and run a series of workshops around the region.
6 Seniors Community Gardens Project: A Senior Citizens group has approached the community foundation to assist in funding their activities in Manifold Heights. The Group wants to expand its activities creating and maintaining the gardens in the suburban area which are accessed by the community, some of which may be owned by local government. Sites such as Senior Citizens Clubs and Neighbourhood Houses have been identified. The group needs funds to purchase plants, seeds and mulching materials. Labour is voluntary.

7 Sport for health: There are sometimes applications for the community foundation to support the building of a new clubhouse for the local football club. In smaller communities the football club is a big focus of the community and provides the basis of many community events. The clubhouse plans may include a gym for public use which will offer reduced rates for disadvantaged people and actively encourage community groups, particularly the elderly, to join. It will provide health and fitness education and information. The new clubhouse will also include a meeting room which will be available for a wide range of community groups and events for a modest or no rent. The community needs both a fitness centre and a place for meetings and events.

10 Kids for Conservation: Local school students in the south coast seaside area want to carry out an erosion control planting program on the foreshore. The foreshore is at risk of major environmental degradation if works are not undertaken. The local Foreshore Conservation Association is seeking funding to work with local schools on this project.