

From:
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Fossil Fuel Subsidies [SEC]
Date: Thursday, 26 May 2011 1:08:00 PM
Attachments: [COM-ENV-EPOC-CTPA-CFA\(2011\)2-ENG.PDF](#)
[EM - 110525 Executive Minute Fossil Fuel Subsidies - IG20D Comments - BC - 110526.docx](#)
[PPR - Fossil Fuel Subsidies Matrix - IG20D EDITS - BC - 110526.docx](#)

Hi

Attached are our comments to the EM in track changes, and the accompanying paper / matrix.

The G20 Washington 2010 communiqué was refined from Pittsburgh to highlight “rationalize and phase out, over the medium term, of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption.” Further, our response to the G20 does not list any specific measures – this was only included in the supporting documentation to the EM sent to the Treasurer’s office, which was released to Greenpeace under FOI. I suggest attaching Australia’s response to the G20 to the EM – although you may already have picked this up in Attachment B on the FOI documents.

Sections 33 and 47C

Section 22

Happy to discuss.

Regards,

From:

Sent: Thursday, 26 May 2011 11:38 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: Fossil Fuel Subsidies [SEC=

]

Hi

As discussed, we're going through the EM and paper now. We'll definitely have some amendments to the EM around how it describes the G20 commitment and Australia's response to it. For example, we never provided a list of subsidies to the G20 (that was internal only, and in the FOI).

Also attached is the response to the ACF letter cited in the EM, which we understand is currently with the T/O awaiting signature.

Attached are the two EMs on this issue that went to the Treasurer last year.

Be back to you shortly.

Section 22