### Document 14

From: @ato.gov.au]

Sent: Thursday, 9 August 2001 4:25

To: (Treasury)

Subject: FW: Thin cap and debt/equity - further queries ex KPMG

Importance: High

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **IMPORTANT**

The information transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged material. Any review, re-transmission, disclosure, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited and may result in severe penalties. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the Privacy Hotline of the Australian Taxation Office, telephone (02) 621 62255 and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments.

:\*

KPMG Submission (Part 2)

Regards

----Original Message----

From: @kpmg.com.au]

Sent: Monday, 23 July 2001 17:33

To: @ato.gov.au'; @ato.gov.au'

Cc: @ato.gov.au';

Subject: Thin cap and debt/equity - further queries

Further to our email of 19 July 2001, we outline below some further issues where we again seek your clarification in relation to the New Business Tax System (Debt and Equity) Bill 2001 ("the debt/equity Bill") and the New Tisiness Tax System (Thin Capitalisation) Bill 2001 ("the thin cap Bill").

This note focuses on the following:

- \* Thin capitalisation transitional provision transitional debt interest;
- Grouping for thin capitalisation;
- Non-share capital accounts;
- Treatment of convertible notes;
- \* Section 26-26
- \* Treatment of short positions and derivatives; and
- \* Regulations.

### Section 22

# Section 22

### Section 26-26

This provision precludes a deduction for all non-share distributions. However, if on the issue of non-share equity interest the issuer was fully assessed on the amount received is it not reasonable to allow for the possibility of the non-share distribution being a deduction? Alternatively, is it intended that amounts received on non-share equity interests are always not assessable? Clarification of this point would be appreciated.

## Section 22

# Section 22