**From:** Doris Buck <dorisbuck22@gmail.com>   
**Sent:** Tuesday, 6 August 2019 4:07 PM  
**To:** RG - Black Economy <Blackeconomy@treasury.gov.au>  
**Subject:** Treasury consultation (commencing 2 August 2019): Cash payment limit − public consultation on exposure draft legislation [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]  
**Importance:** High

Hello,

Please find below my input with regards to the above Exposure Draft:

**Explanatory Memorandum:**

Question 1: Why is the Crown exempted from prosecution (see 1.18)?

Input: If an entity is liable and able to be prosecuted, so should the entity of the Crown, or else this may be a loophole for Public Officials.

Question 2: Fault Elements (see 1.30) – would an entity be considered innocent until proven guilty or assumed guilty?

Input: If an entity is presumed guilty it shifts the weight to the entity for defence, rather than the Government having to prove guilt. This may be unfair to the entity.

Apart from the above there were about ten grammatical errors or issues of presentation. I you would like a list, please email me or call me.

If I can be of help with proofreading of future drafts etc, please contact me.

**Currency … Bill 2019:**

Question 3: Penalty Units – there is no explanation of what there are or entail, or what penalty they carry with them.

Input: showing that Penalty Units will apply should also include what these would entail, ie monetary fines or whatever the penalty would be.

**Explanatory Materials Currency:**

Question 4: Page 5 , 2nd last paragraph – instead of ‘reasonably believe that is it necessary’ it should read ‘reasonably believe that it is necessary’

Input: have this amended in the final version.

Question 5: Instead of ‘Section10 – No alternative payment method’ it should read ‘Section 10 – No alternative payment method’

Input: a space between Section and 10.

Trust the above input is helpful.

Kind Regards,

Doris Buck

Small Business Consultation Panel Member

Mob: 0412 336 130